

CREDIT OPINION

13 March 2017

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

Heather Guss 617-535-7693
Analyst
 heather.guss@moodyys.com

Thomas Jacobs 212-553-0131
Senior Vice President
 thomas.jacobs@moodyys.com

Nicholas Lehman 617-535-7694
AVP-Analyst
 nicholas.lehman@moodyys.com

Burlington (City of) VT

New Issue - Moody's Revises Burlington, VT's Outlook to Positive; Affirms A3

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned an A3 rating to the City of Burlington, Vermont's \$1 million General Obligation Public Improvement Bonds, Series 2017A. Concurrently, we have affirmed the A3 rating on the city's outstanding general obligation debt, the Baa1 rating on the outstanding Series 2016B certificates of participation (COPS), and the Baa2 rating on the outstanding Series 2016A COPS. The outlook on all debt has been revised to positive from stable.

The A3 GO rating reflects the city's improved financial position with sound reserves following four consecutive years of operating surpluses, as well as the city's strength as the economic center of Vermont (Aaa stable). The rating also incorporates the manageable debt burden that will continue to increase, and the enterprise risk from Burlington Telecom (BT).

The Baa1 COP rating reflects the city's general credit profile, appropriation risk of the certificates, and the essentiality of the projects financed.

The Baa2 COP rating reflects the city's general credit profile, appropriation risk of the certificates, and the non-essential nature of the projects financed.

Credit Strengths

- » Stable underlying economy and tax base serving as the economic center of the state
- » Management team budgets conservatively and prudently manages expenditures, leading to recent growth in reserves
- » Low OPEB liability

Credit Challenges

- » Deferred maintenance will lead to increase in debt burden and debt service costs
- » Remaining exposure to Burlington Telecom

Rating Outlook

The revision of the outlook to positive reflects our expectation that the city will maintain structurally balanced operations and modestly improve its reserve levels, as it has done for the past few years, despite rising costs for debt service, salaries, and employee benefits. Additionally, the tax base is an important strength of the overall credit profile, and we expect the moderate growth to continue given new planned development. Finally, in calendar year

2017 the city will actively search for a buyer of BT, and the results of that sale will be an important consideration in future reviews.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Continued surplus operations and material growth in reserves and liquidity
- » Final resolution of BT sale

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Structurally imbalanced operations, reducing financial flexibility
- » Renewed reliance on cash flow borrowing
- » Material increase in the debt burden

Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Burlington (City of) VT	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 3,957,600	\$ 4,056,809	\$ 4,019,395	\$ 4,137,177	\$ 4,267,609
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 93,567	\$ 95,942	\$ 95,222	\$ 101,130	\$ 100,249
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	102.9%	105.0%	106.6%	106.6%	106.6%
Finances					
General Fund Revenue (\$000)	\$ 54,206	\$ 55,665	\$ 54,532	\$ 56,914	\$ 62,293
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	-20.4%	-1.9%	3.9%	10.4%	14.9%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	6.8%	27.7%	4.7%	15.2%	16.1%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 55,506	\$ 68,040	\$ 65,274	\$ 80,903	\$ 77,949
Net Direct Debt / General Fund Revenues (x)	1.0x	1.2x	1.2x	1.4x	1.3x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.4%	1.7%	1.6%	1.9%	1.8%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	0.0x	2.7x	2.8x	3.0x	2.8x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	3.2%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	4.0%

As of June 30 fiscal year-end

Source: Moody's Investors Service; city's audited financial statements

Recent Developments

Since our last report in October 2016, the fiscal 2016 audited financials were released reflecting a \$4.1 million surplus, which was in line with management's projections. The positive results enabled the city to become compliant with the formal fund balance policy a few years ahead of schedule. Fiscal 2017 full value increased 5% to \$4.5 billion. In addition, voters in November 2016 authorized borrowing for the first half of the ten year capital plan.

Regarding the sale of Burlington Telecom (BT), management reports that the city will begin actively looking for a buyer this year (calendar year 2017) to comply with the terms of the Citibank settlement. Over the past two years, the city has enacted measures to increase subscribership and improve the financial position of BT. In addition, the city has developed criteria for the sale, which the City Council will vote on in March. The city hopes to have a sale, which will be subject to approval by the Vermont Public Service Board, completed by December 31, 2017.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Detailed Rating Considerations

Economy and Tax Base: Strong Healthcare and Higher Education Anchor Stable Tax Base

The diverse \$4.5 billion tax base (fiscal 2017) remains a strength of the city's credit profile, aided by the diversity of the local economy, the city's regional importance, and significant institutional presence. Education, health services, and government sector employment represent a large portion of the area's largest employers. The city's two largest employers are the University of Vermont Medical Center and the University of Vermont & State Agricultural College, Vermont (Aa3 stable), which comprise approximately 8,000 jobs. In the private sector, GlobalFoundries' Essex Junction facility, which currently employs approximately 2,800 people (3,000 expected in the near term), should remain stable over the near term following a \$72 million investment.

Moderate growth in the tax base will continue over the medium term given planned development. The full value (estimated fair market value) increased 5% in fiscal 2017, bringing the five year compound average annual growth to 2.5%. Large-scale redevelopment plans for downtown, including the Burlington Town Center mall, continue to progress. The city is currently working with a developer, and the estimated \$70 million project will add over 270 residential units and office and retail space.

Income levels are average, with median family income equal to 101.4% of the state and 106.6% of the US. The city's unemployment rate of 2.1% (December 2016) is below the state (2.8%) and US (4.5%) rates.

Financial Operations and Reserves: Healthy Financial Position Will Continue

The city remains committed to improving its financial position and has generated four consecutive surpluses (audited 2013-2016). Management adheres to the fund balance policy adopted by the Council in 2015 and has been budgeting \$1 million annually for reserve replenishment. The policy targets an unassigned fund balance of 10-15%, and the city achieved that in fiscal 2016, well ahead of schedule.

Audited fiscal 2016 results reflect a \$4.1 million surplus, which was projected during our October 2016 review. The positive results were due to a budgeted surplus of \$1 million, strong planning and zoning revenue, unused contingency of \$800, and turnbacks in most departments. Available reserves are \$9.3 million or a sound 14.9% of revenue. Of this amount, \$6.5 million (10.5%) is unassigned.

The fiscal 2017 General Fund budget increased 3.5% over 2016 and included another \$1 million to add to unassigned fund balance. The tax rate was lowered. Seven months into the year, management conservatively projects a \$2 million surplus. Future reviews will continue to focus on management's ability to maintain structurally balanced operations and gradually increase reserves, despite budgetary pressure from rising debt service costs due to the capital plan.

LIQUIDITY

The 2016 General Fund cash position improved to \$10 million, or 16.1% of revenues. Given the improved financial position, the city has not drawn on its line of credit with KeyBank National Association (Aa3) since fiscal 2013.

Debt and Pensions: Debt Burden Will Increase to Finance 10 Year CIP; Long-term Liabilities Remain Manageable

Exclusive of the revenue bonds supported by the city's airport, electric and wastewater enterprise funds, the city's General Fund-supported net direct debt burden is 1.9% of full value. The debt burden includes general obligation debt issued on behalf of the school department, although debt service is paid by the school department.

The debt burden will increase over the medium term to finance projects in the ten year Capital Improvement Plan (2017-2026). In November 2016, voters approved \$27.5 million in GO bonds to finance projects through 2021, and the city will begin issuing the debt immediately (starting with this issuance). The city will go back to voters (likely in 2021) to authorize borrowing for projects planned for 2022-2026, estimated at \$25 million. In addition, the city will continue to issue \$7 million annually for city, school and electric capital needs.

Voters also approved \$8.3 million in water revenue debt, which the city will issue in tranches through fiscal 2019. This debt will be paid out of user fees.

Finally, voters in November 2016 approved a \$21.8 million GO bond issue for road projects related to the downtown redevelopment. The city does not plan to issue the majority of bonds until the redevelopment is underway and TIF revenues are sufficient to cover debt service.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All debt is fixed rate and amortization of principal is below average, with 57.3% retired within ten years. Debt service costs of \$3.4 million (net of GO debt service issued for school purposes and paid by the school department) in fiscal 2016 comprised 5.8% of expenditures.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

Burlington is not party to any interest rate swaps or other derivative agreements.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The city participates in the Burlington Employee Retirement System, a single-employer, defined benefit retirement plan. The city contributed \$5.1 million (net of Burlington Electric Department, school department and enterprise funds) to the plan in fiscal 2016, representing 8.9% of General Fund expenditures. The three year average adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$170.5 million, or an elevated 2.8 times General Fund revenues.

The city contributed \$125,000 towards its annual OPEB cost in fiscal 2016, representing 36.5% of the cost and less than 1% of expenditures. The total unfunded liability is \$3.8 million as of June 30, 2015.

Total fixed costs for fiscal 2016, including debt service, required pension contributions and retiree healthcare payments, represented \$8.6 million, or 14.8% of expenditures.

Management and Governance

The management team, in place since 2012, remains committed to improving the city's financial position. The city adopted a fund balance policy in 2015 and became compliant well ahead of schedule. In fiscal 2016, the city eliminated the material weaknesses found in prior audits. In addition, the city is adhering to a recently adopted ten year capital plan that will address deferred maintenance.

Vermont Cities have an Institutional Framework score of Aa, which is high compared to the nation. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. Property taxes, Vermont Cities' major revenue source, are not subject to a cap. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be minor, or under 5% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. However, Vermont has public sector unions, which can limit the ability to cut expenditures. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be minor, under 5% annually.

Legal Security

The bonds are secured by the city's general obligation unlimited tax pledge.

Use of Proceeds

Bond proceeds will finance various city-wide capital projects.

Obligor Profile

Burlington is located in northwestern Vermont along the coast of Lake Champlain. The population is approximately 42,200.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in the general obligation rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in December 2016. The principal methodology used in the lease rating was Lease, Appropriation, Moral Obligation and Comparable Debt of US State and Local Governments published in July 2016. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moody.com for a copy of these methodologies.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

Burlington (City of) VT

Issue	Rating
General Obligation Public Improvement Bonds, Series 2017A	A3
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$1,000,000

Expected Sale Date	03/15/2017
Rating Description	General Obligation

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.