## COMMISSION MEETING SIGN IN SHEET

**Date:** 19 July 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME - (PRINTED)</th>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>PHONE #</th>
<th>WARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethany Whitaker</td>
<td>87</td>
<td><a href="mailto:b_whitaker@comcast.net">b_whitaker@comcast.net</a></td>
<td>722-281-150</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Small</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:davidtdsmalley@gmail.com">davidtdsmalley@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>(207) 776-069</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Hammonds</td>
<td>67</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alex@myfriend.com">alex@myfriend.com</a></td>
<td>87 825-8644</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Friend</td>
<td>67</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Roger.wilens3@um.com">Roger.wilens3@um.com</a></td>
<td>802 862-7409</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Mazzari</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Roger.mazzari@gmail.com">Roger.mazzari@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>864-5866</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Wilens</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arleen Bennett</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:debraalopez@comcast.net">debraalopez@comcast.net</a></td>
<td>373-1946</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Gottesman</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hinsdale <a href="mailto:Properties@gmail.com">Properties@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>802-862-1148</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Hinsdale</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>802-592-2839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Passaman</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Wiener</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurie Smith</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Waters</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxine Holmes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>862-4902</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that this sign-in sheet and any information provided on it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
### COMMISSION MEETING SIGN IN SHEET

**Date:** 19 July 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME - (PRINTED)</th>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>PHONE #</th>
<th>WARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jason VanDrieste</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jason@localmotion.org">jason@localmotion.org</a></td>
<td>735-7271</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Furendi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mark.furendi@gmail.com">mark.furendi@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>802-232-5351</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councilor Hartnett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Dunn</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Knodell</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurt Wright</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Alden</td>
<td>J.S</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jba@scottpartners.com">jba@scottpartners.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Buce</td>
<td>J.S</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rickbuce@comcast.net">rickbuce@comcast.net</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please note that this sign-in sheet and any information provided on it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.*
Zoning Permit - COA Level I – Conditions of Approval

ZP #: 17-1157CA  Tax ID: 044-4-030-000

Issue Date: May 24, 2017  Decision: Approved

Property Address: 163-165 CHERRY STREET

Description: Create one bedroom apartment in 3rd floor. Replace exterior door.

Standard Permit Conditions:

1. Other City, State or Federal Permits. The owner is solely responsible for obtaining all other required City, state and federal approvals. Failure to do so may invalidate this Zoning Permit and result in enforcement actions.

   Note: All projects receiving a Zoning Permit also require a Construction Permit or written confirmation that a Construction Permit is not required from Department of Public Works-Inspection Services Division (DPW-ISD). All construction permits must be closed out by way of approved inspections by DPW-ISD before issuance of a Unified Certificate of Occupancy (UCO) by the Code Enforcement Office as per Condition 3, below.

2. Time Limits. This zoning permit shall become invalid unless work or action authorized by the permit is commenced by May 24, 2018. The owner shall complete the approved project and obtain a UCO (combined Zoning and Building certificates of occupancy, still applicable even if a zoning or building permit was not required) by May 24, 2019, or be subject to enforcement actions.

   These time limits are binding upon the owner unless one of the following apply: a) longer or shorter time limits are specifically imposed by a condition of approval; or b) the time limits are tolled by additional state or federal permitting for the project or by an appeal; or c) an extension of time has been granted. An extension of time must be requested in writing PRIOR to the expiration of the permit. If the owner has enacted the permit and it lapses, the owner may be responsible to obtain a new zoning permit, if required, which shall be subject to the current Comprehensive Development Ordinance (CDO).

3. Unified Certificate of Occupancy (UCO): It shall be unlawful to use or occupy (or allow the use or occupancy of) any land or structure or part thereof which has been created, changed, converted, or wholly or partly altered or enlarged in its use or structure without a UCO.

   If the project is partially completed, meets “prior to issuance of a UCO” conditions of approval, meets all health and safety standards, and all municipal fees for the project are paid, a Temporary Zoning CO may be requested and issued. Upon completion of the project, applicant shall request and obtain a Final UCO from the Code Enforcement Office (located at 645 Pine Street). Additional information on how to request and obtain this UCO is available at this office. Failure to obtain a certificate of occupancy places the property in violation of the CDO and is subject to enforcement. In addition, Failure to obtain a UCO within the time limits above is subject to “after the fact” fees ranging from $75 - $1500 (in addition to the UCO fee).

4. Project Modifications. The project shall be completed as shown on the plans, which have been stamped “approved” and dated by the administrative officer. The project shall not deviate from the approved plans or conditions of approval without prior written approval from the administrative officer.
5. **Property Inspection.** By acceptance of this permit, the owner authorizes City Officials and/or their authorized representatives, access to the subject property for the purpose of observing work in progress, inspecting and/or measuring the property or improvements until such time the project has been issued a Final UCO.

6. **Completion and Maintenance of Improvements and Landscaping.** Owner or successor in interest is responsible for completing all improvements shown on approved plans. By acceptance of this permit, Owner agrees to maintain all improvements in a satisfactory condition. Any landscaping installed according to the approved plan which becomes diseased or dies shall be replaced by similar species and size no later than the first available planting season.

7. **Off-Site Drainage.** Issuance of this permit does not authorize the discharge of stormwater runoff or other surface drainage from the subject premises onto adjoining property or properties including but not limited to the public Right of Way.

8. **Errors.** The owner is solely responsible for the accuracy of all information contained in the Zoning Permit application. Any errors contained therein may invalidate the Zoning Permit and may result in enforcement action by the City.

9. **Transfer of Ownership.** All zoning permits run with the land. In the event of a transfer of ownership, partial or whole, of the subject premises, the transferee shall become permittee and subject to compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit.

10. **Violations/Penalties.** A violation of any of the conditions of this permit or of any provision of the CDO may result in enforcement actions, including but not limited to a penalty of up to two hundred dollars ($200) per day, municipal tickets, and/or additional permitting fees.

11. **Incorporation and Reference of All Plans Presented.** This approval incorporates by reference all plans and drawings presented and all verbal representations by the applicant on the subject application to the extent that they are not in conflict with other stated conditions or regulations.

12. **For Properties Involved in Boundary Disputes.** Boundary disputes are not within the jurisdiction of the administrative officer or the Development Review Board. When an application is submitted and the boundary of the subject property is called into question, the boundary will be determined based upon the best evidence available, for instance a survey or other official document. If a permit is issued and contrary evidence is presented to the City after the fact, such as a survey or Superior Court ruling with respect to the boundary lines, the permit may be amended or revoked by the City. If the permit is amended or revoked, owner shall bear all costs to remedy the situation, including removal of the structure(s) if necessary, that is if the structure(s) is/are unable to meet the requirements of the CDO and receive an amended permit in light of the actual boundary line.

13. **Damage to City Property.** The Owner is responsible for any damage to the City of Burlington’s property, including but not limited to its right-of-way, sewer/water lines, etcetera, that occurs during the site improvements authorized by this permit. If damage occurs, the Owner shall restore the property to a condition equal to or better than the condition of the property prior to such damage.

14. **City Rights-of-Way and Ownership.** Permit approval does NOT authorize any work to be undertaken within the public ROW. Any work in the ROW can only occur with prior authorization by DPW and City Council, as required. Any work or improvements that are taken within the City’s right of way does not diminish the City’s ownership or authority regarding said right of way.

15. **Liquor License Required.** An approval of any use that includes the sale of alcoholic beverages is contingent upon the receipt of a liquor license from the City of Burlington or the State of Vermont, whichever is applicable.
Grantee: City of Burlington  
Parcel: 044-4-030-000  
Owner: Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC  
Location: 163-165 Cherry ST  
Land Use: C  
COC Exp: 02/20/2017  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Permit Type / Description</th>
<th>Folder No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Grantor</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>172916</td>
<td>08-139046</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>180607</td>
<td>09-104070</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>208280</td>
<td>11-131415</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Rental Billing Property Sold and Transferred - Jul 1 2013</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>226644</td>
<td>12-148817</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>240241</td>
<td>13-162170</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>265666</td>
<td>14-183042</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>288948</td>
<td>15-204253</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>308867</td>
<td>16-222127</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Rental Billing</td>
<td>Code Enforcement Billing Folder</td>
<td>329885</td>
<td>17-241039</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>Permit Type / Description</td>
<td>Folder No.</td>
<td>Permit No.</td>
<td>Grantor</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12/1988</td>
<td>Mechanical Permit, New Gas Furnace with duct work and a cond.</td>
<td>31865</td>
<td>9861</td>
<td>Steven Bouchard</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/09/1999</td>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>19763</td>
<td>10781</td>
<td>Steven Bouchard</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/10/1995</td>
<td>Electrical Permit, Roof Platform For Air Conditioning Equipment</td>
<td>4642</td>
<td>26585</td>
<td>Steven Bouchard</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/10/1995</td>
<td>Replacing Wiring And 3 Receptacle Outlets</td>
<td>24399</td>
<td>26583</td>
<td>Steven Bouchard</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/03/2000</td>
<td>Mechanical Permit, Install 1 Rinnai’ Space Heater</td>
<td>35787</td>
<td>2001639</td>
<td>Steven Bouchard</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/13/2000</td>
<td>Plumbing Permit, Install (2) Hair Wash Sinks To Serve New Salon.</td>
<td>14096</td>
<td>2001706</td>
<td>Steven Bouchard</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/28/2004</td>
<td>Plumbing Permit, Install 1-40 gal HWH</td>
<td>117124</td>
<td>04-106616</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/08/2008</td>
<td>Electrical Permit, Install new wiring for newly installed ac/dc interconnected smoke and co detectors per city code of ordinance in 1 apt and add a bath vent fan</td>
<td>172316</td>
<td>08-138446</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/03/2013</td>
<td>Building Permit, Demo only in preparation for space remodel</td>
<td>246227</td>
<td>13-166078</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/18/2013</td>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>248075</td>
<td>13-167898</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/19/2013</td>
<td>Electrical Permit</td>
<td>248105</td>
<td>13-167928</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/09/2013</td>
<td>Plumbing Permit</td>
<td>248106</td>
<td>13-167929</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/09/2013</td>
<td>Mechanical Permit</td>
<td>248107</td>
<td>13-167930</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Work was completed under BP#13-167913 -Building Permit was not required for this project per Chapter 8 of the Burlington Code of Ordinance sect 8-28(d) or as approved and noted by the City of Burlington Building Official.

Interior remodel of existing space for new LUCY NEXT DOOR to include new ADA Entry. No change in use of the existing structure and no increase of living space.

DEMO ONLY - Remove existing wiring to prepare for remodel of space for "Lucy Next Door".

DWV water piping and fixtures to serve ADA bath room, pot sink, mop sink, hand sink, scrub sink, Rinnai Continuum water heater.

Installation of (1) Rinnai space heater, (2) Fujitsu Ductless split systems, (1) bath room fan, and reconfiguration of existing gas piping.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Permit Type / Description</th>
<th>Folder No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Grantor</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/09/2013</td>
<td>Reconciliation of Permit Fees</td>
<td>248109</td>
<td>13-167932</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/2013</td>
<td>Electrical Permit</td>
<td>248717</td>
<td>13-168489</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/09/2013</td>
<td>Plumbing Permit</td>
<td>250846</td>
<td>13-170611</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/09/2013</td>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>248934</td>
<td>13-168706</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/09/2013</td>
<td>Mechanical Permit</td>
<td>251828</td>
<td>13-171693</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/19/2017</td>
<td>Reconciliation of Permit Fees</td>
<td>333166</td>
<td>17-244318</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/13/2017</td>
<td>Electrical Permit</td>
<td>333167</td>
<td>17-244319</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/14/2017</td>
<td>Plumbing Permit</td>
<td>333168</td>
<td>17-244320</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Settlement on the final construction cost of the project as results of change orders, etc., to the original (parent) permit estimated cost respective to the City Code of Ordinances Chapter 8 section 8-28.

Install new wiring for an interior remodel of existing space for new LUCY NEXT DOOR, including new lighting, receps, bathroom exhaust fan and outside lighting (pending zoning approval). Per NEC 2011

Building Permit was not required for this project per Chapter 8 of the Burlington Code of Ordinance sect 8-28(d) or as approved and noted by the City of Burlington Building Official.

Building Permit was not required for what is in the description on the attached Zoning Permit respective to Chapter 8 of the Burlington Code of Ordinance sect 8-28(d) or as approved and/or noted by the City of Burlington Building Official.

Building Permit was not required for this project per Chapter 8 of the Burlington Code of Ordinance sect 8-28(d) or as approved and noted by the City of Burlington Building Official.

Install new plumbing system to serve ADA toilet and sink, 4 bay pot sink, w/grease trap, hand wash sink, food prep sink, rough in PVC drain and domestic to 3rd floor future bath.

WORK COMPLETET UNDER RP 13-167913 & EP 13-168489 - Building Permit was not required for this project per Chapter 8 of the Burlington Code of Ordinance sect 8-28(d) or as approved and noted by the City of Burlington Building Official.

Install two ductless split systems, install a Triangle Tue boiler and DHW tank, intst Bosebook heat for 2nd floor and rough in only for heat for third floor.

Respective to The City of Burlington Code of Ordinances chapter 8 section 8-28(a); this document reflects the correct statement of total actual cost of construction as results of change orders, etc., over and beyond the original permit estimated costs.

Convert 3rd floor to habitable space, bedroom, living room, kitchen, bathroom. New sub-panel to feed branch circuits, relocate 2nd floor panel. Wiring for new bathroom, laundry room, washer dryer. All work to be per the NEC and the BCO.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Permit Type / Description</th>
<th>Folder No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Grantor</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/14/2017</td>
<td>Mechanical Permit</td>
<td>333169</td>
<td>17-244321</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd floor: add BBR heating zone and Fujitsu split system w/2 heads.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/08/2017</td>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>333143</td>
<td>17-244295</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Pending Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interior demo only of 2nd floor apt. for exploratory evaluation for design. No new fitup is approved with this permit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/13/2017</td>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>333318</td>
<td>17-244470</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Deficiencies Exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 2: Specific to VT Fire &amp; Building Safety Code: Convert 3rd floor into habitable space to expand existing apartment to include new interior stairs from 2nd to 3rd floor, dormer on west elevation, new kitchen, bathroom bedroom, living and dining on 3rd floor, new windows and roof cover with approved materials.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/2017</td>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>336924</td>
<td>17-245072</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Pending Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create one bedroom apartment in 3rd floor. Replace rated exterior door.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/10/2017</td>
<td>Plumbing Permit</td>
<td>338071</td>
<td>17-249219</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Install limited area sprinkler system (max 2 heads) per NFPA 101 to protect heating units.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Document Type: Right-of-Way**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Permit Type</th>
<th>Folder No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/08/2013</td>
<td>Obstruction Permit</td>
<td>248987</td>
<td>13-168759</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>645 Pine Street</td>
<td>(802)863-9094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obstruction location:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TEMP WALL FOR BUILDING PROJECT, REMOVAL OF EXISTING STORE FRONT PEDESTRIAN WARNING SIGNAGE AS REQUIRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/13/2017</td>
<td>Obstruction Permit</td>
<td>333314</td>
<td>17-244466</td>
<td>City Of Burlington - Department of Public Works</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractor will be blocking sidewalk to access a third floor window in the building.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Document Type: Routine Inspections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Permit Type</th>
<th>Folder No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum Housing Inspection</td>
<td>172912</td>
<td>08-139042</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>645 Pine Street</td>
<td>(802)863-0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum Housing Inspection</td>
<td>249841</td>
<td>13-169612</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>645 Pine Street</td>
<td>(802)863-0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum Housing Inspection</td>
<td>337208</td>
<td>17-248356</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>645 Pine Street</td>
<td>(802)863-0442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Document Type: Zoning Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Permit Type</th>
<th>Folder No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planning and Zoning</td>
<td>149 Main Street</td>
<td>(802)865-7188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>Permit Type / Description</td>
<td>Folder No.</td>
<td>Permit No.</td>
<td>Grantor</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/04/1989</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - Historic</td>
<td>254572</td>
<td>89-003</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>Review Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation of condensing unit at rear of existing optical shop to be screened with diamond shaped metal mesh, painted to match. Amended on 5/6/1989.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/25/1994</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level I</td>
<td>42111</td>
<td>95-092</td>
<td>Tim Seagroves</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change of use from an optician with a retail component to entire retail for the video rental. No exterior changes proposed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/25/1994</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level I</td>
<td>42141</td>
<td>95-103</td>
<td>Ivan Sutlief</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation of a parallel externally illuminated sign, measuring 10'x 4'. For the existing video rental establishment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/05/2001</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level I</td>
<td>46371</td>
<td>02-211</td>
<td>Heather A. Beal</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation of a parallel externally illuminated sign for consignment boutique.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/25/2013</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level I</td>
<td>245218</td>
<td>13-0708CA</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change use of entire ground floor from retail to cafe. No change to residential above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/25/2013</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - Signs and Awnings</td>
<td>246986</td>
<td>13-0835SN</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New parallel sign for Lucky Next Door.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/25/2013</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level I</td>
<td>246987</td>
<td>13-0836CA</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change use from retail to restaurant. Storefront renovation to bring storefront forwards to line up with front facade, eliminating angle. New doors and windows with decorative metalwork in front. Installation of exterior door on side, two exterior heat pump units, and two vents (heater and bathroom).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/11/2013</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - Signs and Awnings</td>
<td>250455</td>
<td>13-1218SN</td>
<td>Gregory T. Chioffi</td>
<td>Final CO Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New parallel sign on side for LND with external gooseneck light, new external lighting fixtures for existing sign on front for Lucky Next Door (approved under ZP#13-0835SN).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/17/2017</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level II</td>
<td>328928</td>
<td>17-0758CA</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>FCO Pending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apartment renovation to expand habitable space with dormer; replace a window and re-shingle, or install slate, roof.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2017</td>
<td>Zoning Permit - COA Level I</td>
<td>335794</td>
<td>17-1157CA</td>
<td>Penny Cluse Real Estate LLC</td>
<td>FCO Pending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create one bedroom apartment in 3rd floor. Replace exterior door.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>Permit Type / Description</td>
<td>Folder No.</td>
<td>Permit No.</td>
<td>Grantor</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key to Permit Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below is a list of common permit states and what they may mean for you:

- **Application** - A permit application has been made that has not been processed yet.
- **C of O Requested** - A Zoning Certificate of Occupancy has been requested but not processed. Contact the Code Enforcement Office to enquire.
- **Closed** - A Construction Permit requires no further action.
- **Closed with Final** - A Construction Permit has had its Final Inspection, is complete and no further action is required.
- **CO Noncompliant** - A Zoning Certificate of Occupancy (CO) has been requested but cannot be completed due to issues. Contact the Code Enforcement Office to enquire.
- **CSD Passed** - The Construction Start Deadline (CSD) for a Zoning Permit has passed (generally 1 year from issuance). The permit is still valid. Request a Final Zoning Certificate of Occupancy from the Code Enforcement Office to proceed.
- **Final CO Issued** - A Zoning Permit has been issued a Final Certificate of Occupancy (CO) and is complete. No further action is required.
- **Issued** - A Construction permit has been issued by the City but has not received a Final Inspection. Final Inspections are required.
- **Permit Expired** - The Expiration Date for a Zoning Permit has passed and the project was never undertaken. This was verified by the Code Enforcement Office, and no further action is required.
- **Permit Indeterminate** - The Expiration Date for a Zoning Permit has passed (generally 2 years from issuance). The permit no longer valid, but the property owner has not asked the City to verify and document what happened. Request a Final Zoning Certificate of Occupancy from the Code Enforcement Office to do this. There may be additional After the Fact fees.
- **Permit Relinquished** - The Expiration Date for a Zoning Permit has Not passed and the project was never undertaken. The owner gave up his/her right to act on the valid permit as documented by the Code Enforcement Office. No further action is required.
- **Ready to Release** - A Zoning Permit is ready to be picked up at the Planning and Zoning office. Release requires signing the permit and payment of any outstanding fees. A Zoning Permit is not valid until this step is completed.
- **Released** - A Zoning Permit has been Released by the Planning and Zoning office and is valid. Neither the CSD Deadline or Permit Expiration Date have passed. Request a Final Zoning Certificate of Occupancy to proceed.
- **Review Complete** - Review of a Zoning Permit application is complete and the permit was Released. No further action is required.
- **Superseded** - A Zoning Permit has been replaced by a subsequent permit. No further action is required.
Good Morning,

My name is Samuel Hartman and I am a tenant at 76 North Union Street. As you are aware, there is a significant lack of parking for residents currently living on North Union Street, becoming increasingly difficult closer to the intersection with North Street and starting after the intersection of Pearl Street. While I am an enthusiastic proponent of a more biker-friendly Burlington, I have grave concern for the removal of any parking spaces in areas with an already difficult and frustrating lack of parking. Most of the tenants who live in apartments close to the 10 parking spots that are proposed to be removed already have a difficult time finding parking and are often forced to park far from their apartment.

It is with my sincerest hope that I ask you to reconsider the removal of these 10 parking spots. The removal of these spots would significantly harm the livability of many apartment units near this area.

I thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Samuel Hartman
SamuelHartman802@gmail.com
(802) 324-9195
Dear Councilors,
My name is Eli Gitelman and I live at 76 North Union Apt 2. Currently at 76 N. Union we are struggling to find a parking solution that ensures a parking situation that works harmoniously for all the tenants. Currently that does not exist because there is not ample room on the driveway for 1 car from 6 of the apartments and 2 cars from 80 N. Union. Apartments 7 & 8 of 76 N. Union already have offsite parking due to this problem. Thus, we, the tenants of 76 and 80 N. Union, rely heavily on the 10 parking spaces this plan intends to remove. If you are to remove these 10 parking spaces know that you are heavily impacting the livelihood of many of Burlington's citizens. It is already a struggle to often find parking on North Union, the removal of those 10 spots would be catastrophic to our ability to park what I consider a reasonable distance from our home. It is also not just us you effect. If the tenants at 76 and 80 North Union are forced to park on the surrounding streets we would then be forcing our problem onto our neighbors which in turn means this plan will create a systemic problem throughout the neighborhood. Please do not enact this plan.

Sincerely,
Eli Gitelman
For the project file.

Nicole Losch, PTP
Senior Transportation Planner
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

From: jj vandette [mailto:jjvandette@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 15, 2017 8:25 AM
To: Nicole Losch <nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov>
Subject: ONE Wiggle - N Union

Hello!

I live in the Old North End and I fully support the ONE Wiggle project in its entirety! More specifically, I'm a homeowner on N Union St, and I am very pleased that the Wiggle will be so close to my house! Losing a few parking spots will have a negative impact on my guests parking experience, but the net positive that will come from this bike infrastructure is much more important!

I would love to join the conversation in-person on July 19th, but I am currently visiting Portland, OR. The biking experience in this town is amazing, and while Burlington has a lot to be proud of, we have a lot to aspire to when it comes to bike infrastructure!

Thank you for your support.
Sincerely,
JJ (Joseph) Vandette
173 N Union St

Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
Hi Nicole and Chapin,

I heard the DPW Commission will be discussing this on the 19th. Unfortunately I will be out of town then but wanted to write to support the ONE Greenway/Wiggle as a resident of Peru St, where I live in a four-unit building and rent the other three apartments. I use a part of the wiggle in my current commute, a different part when I go for a run, and used to use almost the whole thing when I commuted to UVM. I have noticed the light traffic and that cyclists often use it. Not necessarily aggressive or experienced cyclists, but more casual cyclists who apparently prefer winding through quiet streets over straight, busy streets.

As a landlord who lives on-site, I have seen how various tenants use or don't use the on-street parking over my 9 years here. And how some people are flexible and feel that downtown parking is a shared resource and they won't always get to park right in front of their house. I have seen others who feel entitled to park directly in front of their house. Yesterday, I noticed the on-street parking on North Union relatively full while around the corner on Grant, it was relatively empty. Perhaps a solution to the loss of parking on North Union is to make those neighboring properties eligible for Grant street resident-only parking.

Urban parking is shared. Parking on public roads is a secondary use after transportation. In this location, a block from the urban core, it makes sense to me to improve non-motorized transportation and shift a small percentage of the on-street parking off of the route.

Best regards,
Damon

Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
Date: July 17, 2017
To: Members of the Public Works Commission
From: Jane Knodel, Central District City Council
Re: Old North End Greenway

I am submitting these comments in writing, as I am unable to attend the July 19 Public Works Commission meeting.

I am writing as a councilor who recently voted in support of the PlanBTV WalkBike Master Plan. I support the direction that this Plan proposes. Now that we are in the implementation phase, we are reminded once again that “the devil is in the details.” The Commission has a very important role in ensuring that as we make these changes, we do not impose excessive or undue costs on some members of our community. We need to judiciously weigh the benefits and the costs. I want to raise two issues here: parking and safety.

Parking

The original plan for the Old North End Greenway called for the loss of 10 parking spaces on North Union between Grant and Loomis, and 3 spaces on North Champlain between Sherman and Peru. I have checked with residents and business owners on North Champlain, and have heard no concerns about the loss of these spaces. My comments here focus on North Union.

I want to commend the Commission for not voting at the June meeting, waiting to review the Google survey results, and creating additional opportunity for affected members of our community to weigh in. Councilors Tracy and I have received emails from residents, homeowners, and apartment owners expressing their concern. We have also received emails from constituents and others who are strong supporters of these changes.

As you know, the Google survey results show that, indeed, residents in that area are very concerned about the loss of parking in an area where parking is already in short supply. It has to be acknowledged that the feedback from people who live in that neighborhood to the removal of 10 parking spaces is strongly negative. (A minor point: a survey respondent on Hickok Street is described as “outside the project area.” I beg to differ: people on Hickok Street and other nearby streets ARE part of the project area as the demand for parking will be pushed onto nearby streets.)

The report says that so long as more than 10 bicyclists use the Greenway on a given day, the benefits to these individuals outweighs the cost to the 10 people who lost access to parking close to their homes. I fundamentally disagree with this calculus, which vastly undervalues the loss to residents who will have to park farther away from their homes, sometimes late at night, walk further distances with children, groceries, and so forth. I would like to see Public Works staff and Commission come up with a better way of acknowledging and evaluating the very real costs imposed on some members of our community associated with these changes to our streets,
Clearly, the original plan has to be adjusted. I am glad to see that staff has generated some alternatives for the Commission to consider. (I think it is disingenuous, though, to present North Street and Pearl Street as alternatives, as the sheer number of lost parking spaces makes these alternatives non-starters.) Staff recommends Alternative 8, which removes the 10 spaces on North Union but then restores 13 spaces within a 2-3 block radius of this stretch of North Union. I commend staff on their good work to offset the loss of parking by identifying these opportunities to restore parking spaces in the general area.

If the Commission agrees that on balance Alternative 8 offers the best option (and I agree it is better than the original plan), I suggest that you:

- Seek input from residents in the affected area on this solution before adopting it;
- Keep Alternative 6 alive as a long-term way to balance the costs and benefits from the Greenway;
- Monitor the use of the Greenway, particularly in the winter, when the cost associated with the loss of proximate parking is greater. If usage is light, reconsider Alternative 4 (the seasonal Greenway idea).

Safety

I am trying to understand how the Loomis-North Union-Grant Street stretch of this Greenway is safe. A person on a bike on Loomis, headed west, will have to cross one-way northbound car traffic on North Union to get to the proposed southbound segment of the bike path. Similarly, a person on a bike on Grant Street, headed east, will have to cross one-way northbound car traffic on North Union to get to the northbound segment of the bike path.

**How will this comingling of cars and bikes be made safe?** Presumably, the person on the bike whether headed west or east, will have to stop at North Union and wait for a safe break in the car traffic. Since they will almost always have to stop their bikes anyway, would it be reasonable to have westbound bicyclists cross in the crosswalk on foot and walk their bikes a short distance on the sidewalk (southbound on North Union) to resume their trip on the Greenway? This solution (which I’m sure has some problems I have not considered, but am throwing it out there anyway) would not require the removal of any parking spaces at all, and, combined with the restoration of parking spaces identified in Alternative 8, would be a true win-win for all.
Hi Nicole,  Please share this with the board.best,Phil Hammerslough

Sent from a mobile device. Apologies for brevity and typos.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Phil Hammerslough <phil.hammerslough@me.com>
Date: July 17, 2017 at 10:01:04 AM EDT
To: Jason Van Driesche <jason@localmotion.org>
Subject: Greenways in Old North End

Dear Jason & Local Motion,

As a property owner, landlord, and one of the founders of ISGOOD, (Isham Street Gardening and Other Optimistic Dongs), I strongly support the Greenways route through the Old North end. Here are some of my reasons:

1. It calms traffic in neighborhoods making our roads safer for walkers, bicycles and people of all ages.
2. It makes our streets more of a public space rather than just another road for the sole use of automobiles
3. It brings people together by making our roads public spaces where children can play in the streets.
4. It makes our neighborhoods safer by having more eyes on the street
5. Green Streets make our neighborhoods friendlier and more conducive to neighborhood building such as creating green space gardening by reducing noisy and polluting traffic
6. Greenways, like bike paths increase property values.
7. Greenways promote people walking to local businesses, restaurants and cafes
All of the above points help make our city a better place to live for people of all ages, as well as attracting businesses and employment.

Best,
Phil Hammerslough
Bicycle/Pedestrian Instigator
Founding member of ISGOOD

Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
Anna Wyner

From: Nicole Losch
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 11:41 AM
To: Anna Wyner
Subject: ONE Greenway phone call

Anna, here is some additional feedback on the Greenway and related concerns:

July 18, 2017
Maxine Holmes
23 Converse Court
- Resident for 50 years, parking has been challenging for many years – not enough parking for residents – 2’ driveway encroachment has helped a lot
- ONE Greenway concern for residents having to park far away and walk alone at night – seems unsafe
- Glad to hear Loomis won’t be one-way with Greenway – heads east by turning from Hickok to Isham to Loomis to Mansfield because turning left onto Pearl Street is very difficult – suggests adding a signal at Greene / Pearl
- Adding parking near City Market driveway will make visibility difficult – already is difficult – suggests signal or stop sign for City Market driveway
- Downtown parking is too expensive so sometimes people need to park in the neighborhoods to get to work
- Resident parking may be needed in their neighborhood but having neighbors complete the petition would be difficult
- May come to Commission meeting to speak as well

Nicole Losch, PTP
Senior Transportation Planner
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
From: Nicole Losch  
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 1:59 PM  
To: Anna Wyner  
Subject: FW: I support the Old North End Wiggle!

For the project file.

Nicole Losch, PTP  
Senior Transportation Planner  
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov  
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::  
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

From: Kate Spence [mailto:Kate_Spence@hotmail.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 12:11 PM  
To: Robert Alberry <ralberry@burlingtonvt.gov>; Tiki-Jon Archambeau <tarchambeau@burlingtonvt.gov>; Christopher Gillman <cgillman@burlingtonvt.gov>; Justine Sears <jsears@burlingtonvt.gov>; Solveig Overby <soverby@burlingtonvt.gov>; Jeffrey Padgett <jpadgett@burlingtonvt.gov>; James Barr <jbarr@burlingtonvt.gov>; Nicole Losch <nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov>  
Subject: I support the Old North End Wiggle!

Hello all,

I live in the ONE and work at UVM. I would LOVE a quiet route to ride to work. While I highly value green transportation alternatives, I am not a super confident biker on city streets, and a route such as the one being contemplated would make a huge difference in my comfort with riding to work.

Thanks very much,  
Kate Spence  
999-7787  
Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
For the project file.

Nicole Losch, PTP
Senior Transportation Planner
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlorsch@burlingtonvt.gov
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

From: mary manghis [mailto:mmanghis@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 2:57 PM
To: Robert Alberry <ralberry@burlingtonvt.gov>; Tiki-Jon Archambeau <tarchambeau@burlingtonvt.gov>; Christopher Gillman <cgillman@burlingtonvt.gov>; Justine Sears <jsears@burlingtonvt.gov>; Solveig Overby <soverby@burlingtonvt.gov>; Jeffrey Padgett <jpadgett@burlingtonvt.gov>; James Barr <jbarr@burlingtonvt.gov>; Nicole Losch <nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov>
Subject: Old North End "Wiggle"

To Burlington Public Works Commission
I am writing in support of the proposed bike route going through the Old North End. As a resident of an adjoining street (Murray St), this route would be a much safer alternative to the busy streets that are currently used to make the east-west crossing of the city. I often travel through many of the dangerous intersections (ex. Pearl & Elmwood, Elmwood & Grant, etc.) that this route would bypass or provide safer amenities to.
I have seen this sort of "wiggle" used in other cities to great advantage. The improvements to the route would also enhance the adjoining neighborhoods by slowing traffic and making the streets more friendly to children, pedestrians and residents in general.
I cannot make the meeting tomorrow evening but would greatly encourage the commission to let this project proceed. I look forward to the outcome of tomorrow's meeting.
Thanks for your time and consideration.
Mary Manghis
28 Murray St
Burlington
Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
For the project file

Nicole Losch, PTP
Senior Transportation Planner
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

From: TONY Redington [mailto:tonyvt99@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 2:45 PM
Subject: Fwd: Support for "Wiggle"

FYI

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: TONY Redington <tonyvt99@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 18, 2017 at 2:36 PM
Subject: Support for "Wiggle"
To:

Good Day DPW Commissioners:

Am writing because a conflict does not allow me to personally attend your meeting tomorrow evening and just wish to add my voice in support of the basic wiggle corridor improvement.

Am a regular user of the section between Battery Park and North Willard. Sherman/N Champlain/Peru/Elmwood/Grant/N Union/Loomis features fairly low vehicle traffic traffic as a good part of it is local street along with some collector.

Please be aware the wiggle as proposed does little to address difficult intersections--particularly Elmwood/Grant and Elmwood/Peru, Grant/N Winooski, Grant/N. Union and N Union/Loomis.

Some of these are naturals for a mini roundabout which would for the first time offer some safety and comfort for all modes; As you are well aware, N Union is problematic as the narrow 25 feet curb-to-curb cannot host cycle track, parking and anything more than an unsatisfactory 9 foot wide vehicle travelway--some day those cars gotta go, at least a one way cycle track added or maybe a two-way bikeway plus one lane traffic.

As you are well aware, there is little to offer a cyclist or pedestrian looking for safe travel in the current DPW annual work program. Right now we have to wait until 2020 for the first safe intersection outside the
Marketplace at the "intersection of death" (the "rotary" roundabout), and who knows when some some significant cycle track will connect two locations with trip potential.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Yours truly,

Tony Redington
20 N Winooski Ave
Burlington

cc Walk Bike Council Steering Committee
Councilors Jane Knodell and Max Tracy

PS While not trying to belabor a point, have attached a photo taken yesterday from the Middlebury Planning Department window at the new Municipal Building. Too bad we cannot look out the DPW Conference Room window and see the same after just spending a half million on an ugly, poorly performing in all areas traffic signal!

---

Champlain Parkway: Stop! Re-Evaluate! Re-Imagine!
Pine Street
Coalition: https://www.facebook.com/groups/861721857283979/?inviter_id=42495720&is_new_user=0

SafeStreets Burlington.com
TonyRVT.blogspot.com @TonyRVT60

---

Champlain Parkway: Stop! Re-Evaluate! Re-Imagine!
Pine Street
Coalition: https://www.facebook.com/groups/861721857283979/?inviter_id=42495720&is_new_user=0

SafeStreets Burlington.com
TonyRVT.blogspot.com @TonyRVT60

Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
For the project file.

Nicole Losch, PTP
Senior Transportation Planner
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

From: Jason Van Driesche [mailto:jason@localmotion.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 3:12 PM
To: Robert Alberry <ralberry@burlingtonvt.gov>; Tiki-Jon Archambeau <tarchambeau@burlingtonvt.gov>; Christopher Gillman <cgillman@burlingtonvt.gov>; Justine Sears <ises@burlingtonvt.gov>; Solveig Overby <sovery@burlingtonvt.gov>; Jeffrey Padgett <jpadgett@burlingtonvt.gov>; James Barr <jbarr@burlingtonvt.gov>
Cc: Nicole Losch <nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov>; Maxwell Tracy <mtracy@burlingtonvt.gov>; Brian Lowe <brian@burlingtonvt.gov>
Subject: resident support for Old North End "Wiggle"

Dear Public Works Commission members,

I am writing to you in advance of Wednesday’s meeting to share with you evidence of support for the Old North End Wiggle, which is on your agenda for consideration and a vote. Attached you will find the following documents:

- **A list of 149 people who endorsed the Wiggle project through Local Motion’s website.** The document includes the name of each endorser and the comment (if any) that each person submitted with their endorsement. It also includes the text that was presented to potential endorsers for their consideration, which highlights the fact that creation of the Wiggle necessitates the removal of 13 on-street parking spaces.

- **A map showing where Wiggle endorsers live.** Of the 149 endorsers, 127 (85%) are Burlington residents, and 86 (58%) live within a four-block radius of the Wiggle.

Many of these supporters will be at Wednesday’s meeting. You have likely already heard by email from a number of others. As you can see, there is strong local support for this project.

This is the first of many projects where, in order to create a truly bikeable city, there will be trade-offs and hard decisions to be made. On behalf of these 149 individuals—as well as the many, many more people who have expressed their support for better biking in Burlington through the development of PlanBTV Walk-Bike—we call on you to support the Wiggle and allow it to move forward.

Thank you.
Best,
Jason

--
I check my email twice a day, at noon and at 4 PM. If you need to reach me urgently, please contact me at the mobile number below. Thank you.

Jason Van Driesche
Interim Executive Director
Local Motion
1 Steele St., Burlington, VT 05401
o: 802-861-2700 ext. 109
m: 802-735-7271

Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.
BTV Bike Network: Old North End Wiggle

We, the undersigned, call on the City of Burlington to fully build the Old North End Greenway—also known as the “Wiggle”—to provide a safe, easy-to-navigate east-west route on quiet streets through the Old North End. We understand that the project will result in the removal of 10 on-street parking spaces on Union Street between Loomis and Grant as well as 3 spaces on Champlain Street, and we feel that this loss of parking is reasonable and appropriate. We ask the City to complete this important project in 2017.

In 2018, we ask that the City identify and implement opportunities for additional “neighborhood greenway” routes on low-volume, traffic-calmed streets across the Old North End.

Total endorsements: 149

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christine Hill</td>
<td>I appreciate Burlington’s commitment to safe biking, walking, and other non-motorized forms of transit. Connecting the city in such a way is vital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manya Gordon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melisa Cain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn McRae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gil Livingston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Welch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron Keitel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Danis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Leddy</td>
<td>The addition of this on Street bike infrastructure will bring more use and value to our transportation system than 10 -13 publicly funded parking spaces clogging our streets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Melinn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bullard</td>
<td>Excellent idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Ware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Chappa</td>
<td>Christopher Chappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry Swift</td>
<td>This is my route to UVM! Would love to see it bike safe!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siobhan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donegan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kelley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Manghis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Sherman</td>
<td>I totally, support this bike route. Get the bikes onto the street and off the sidewalks in a safe way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Hughes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Wager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francesca Werenko</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Turvey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Vaughan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thora Chadwick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Panitch</td>
<td>This is badly needed! There is no safe route right now between the east ONE and the waterfront, which discourages many people from using their bikes as alternative transportation. I fully endorse this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Bailey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Bowden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Scheidt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicky Kumerow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathlin Bibens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damon Lane</td>
<td>I live on Peru St and support slower travel, more bikes, and stormwater features on these streets!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As well as making Burlington more bikeable, this will improve the flow of traffic on busy streets such as Pearl and North by drawing bikes away from them.

Denying bicycle facilities to allow for on-street parking (aka dormant vehicles) is counter productive, our city needs to continue to grow and prosper- but we cannot do so on the reliance of vehicles- there are already far too many parking and driving on our streets. Let's encourage people of all ages and abilities to seek alternative methods for short trips, let's build more bicycle facilities.

I'll continue to wish we had a North St. bike lane option...and/or Pearl St. I realize with the businesses and residents "needing" parking, the idea of this route has been disputed and dismissed, but I foresee many people still using these streets for their commute via bike, as it is a logical

Please don't let cars continue to trump bike safety. Please pass and develop "The Wiggle!"

More biking helps everyone!

Please do this for the safety of cyclists, especially young ones. Going east/west on Pearl is too dangerous.

Please do this for the safety of cyclists, especially young ones. Going east/west on Pearl is too dangerous.

Currently I have to bike on the sidewalks with my kids because the traffic in the ONE isn't safe for them.

Let's wiggle!

I'd also love to see north st made safer for bikers since I use it every day! Perhaps sidewalk, bike lane, parked cars, then traffic?? Seen it work in other cities.

Parked metal boxes are not part of a livable city!

More bicycles, less cars, less need for parking.

This is really needed. Thanks for pushing for it.
73. Soria DeYoung
74. Drew Polak
75. Erik Brotz
76. Eric Stormfield

I am happy to endorse this, but my only concern around the loss of parking spots is making sure that people with mobility issues - seniors and the disabled in particular - are not impacted by the street parking space losses. Has there been an analysis to factor that in?

77. Shay Totten
78. Nate Marshall
79. Marika Massey-Bierman
80. Matthew Minor
81. Martha Hull
82. Phil Hammerslough
83. Scott Connolly

I live in Bilodeau, off East Ave, and regularly use Loomis to get back from downtown (we're senior citizens and the gentle slope is great), but getting from Church to S Union to get over to Loomis is dangerous! Especially at night. This Wiggie would provide us with a safe, calm route for biking downtown and back. We are not students. This would benefit so many Hill residents!

84. Jeane Keller
85. Cindy Cook
86. Merrick Giliies
87. Susan Ames
88. Patricia Seelan
89. Peg Boyle
90. Charlotte Norris
91. Gwendolyn Causer

Looks like a great plan!
92. Ben Emery Safe
93. Sam Damphousse
94. Cindy Wolkin
95. David Felson
96. Alice Murphy
97. Jeremy Bull
98. Sharyl Green
99. Lisa Lax

Jonathan Chapple-Sokol
100. David Amato Support biking!
101. Zak
102. Emily Vayda
103. Sarah Wittman
104. Susan Eisenstadt
105. Melissa Seib
106. Tom Buck Fully in agreement!
107. Kit Andrews
108. Rachel Jolly
109. Nancy Helen Phylis Bristow-Johnson
110. Dale Azaria
111. Moly Langan This is so needed! Thank you for pursuing it.
112. Kiera Sauter
As a daily bicycle commuter who lives in the O.N.E. I am one hundred percent in favor of anything that will make travel by bicycle safer and more accessible. This will also reduce congestion from vehicular traffic, which I hope everyone can agree is a good thing for the neighborhood.

I have reason to visit the ONE often and would like a quiet, safe way to navigate east-west.

Free street parking is waste of taxpayer investment and should be changed to transit use. This parking is economic waste. Let the private-sector build parking on private land if there’s demand for parking.

This is an awesome project!

The Wiggle is an important addition to amenities Burlington can offer its citizens and students.

As a biking family living in the eastern part of the O.N.E., we would truly love a safer way to bike to the lake, friends' houses, etc.
BURLINGTON RESIDENT SUPPORT FOR OLD NORTH END “WIGGLE”

Each small dot represents one individual who endorsed the Wiggle via Local Motion’s website. Larger dots with numbers represent multiple individuals living at the same address or in close proximity to each other.
Dear DPW Commissioners,

RE: Removal of 10 Parking Spaces on N. Union Street for Bike Lane

BACKGROUND

My partner, Laura Waters, and I own the building at 67 N. Union Street. We apologize for entering this conversation at what may seem like the 11th hour, but unfortunately we were not made aware of this proposal until we received a mailing that was sent out on June 30th. Apparently, there were mailings and flyers distributed on May 17th and June 12th, but for some reason, we, as property owners, were not included in those efforts. As a result we were unaware of the June 20th meeting and were unable to participate in the early stages of this planning process.

Just to be clear, we are avid bicyclists and strong proponents of creating improved cycling corridors within our city. I have been involved in discussions regarding the removal of cars from our city streets since the mid 70’s and would love to see Burlington’s streets cleared of parked cars. I can imagine how much more open and livable our neighborhoods would be without all the parked cars, but they are our current reality. Until we develop alternate transportation systems and lifestyles car-centric living is all of our reality and removing 10 parking spaces from a densely populated residential area will create hardship and less safety for the residents who are impacted by the removed parking.

Over many, many years North Union Street has been developed as a high density housing area. The housing is very well maintained and provides high quality housing to young professionals and students. Between Grant and Loomis Streets alone, there are approximately 50 apartment units and more than 100 bedrooms surrounded by already limited parking (including Grant Street which is Resident Only Parking).

COMMENTS & DISCUSSION OF PROPOSALS

I requested and received a copy of the Commission Packet from Chapin last Friday. We have had an opportunity to review the materials and would like to address the following:

1. We recognize that there has been significant review and planning that has gone into this proposal, and while we support the development of the ONE Greenway, we strongly urge the Commission to not adopt the recommendation to remove vehicle parking between Grant and Loomis Streets, at least not at this time. We believe that there are opportunities for alternate designs that can support the development of the ONE Greenway without displacing this parking. We hope that the Commission will consider postponing approval of this plan to provide time for those of us who have not yet had the opportunity to participate to do so; to provide for the needs of the affected residents; and to encourage staff to revisit and develop alternate proposals that support the ONE Greenway.
2. The 27 Google survey results that were discovered after the June 2017 meeting clearly indicate the concerns, including safety, which the affected residents have with regard to the loss of parking in this very congested neighborhood. Out of the over 34 responses included in the DPW packet, only 4 responses were positive and none were from residents who live in the impacted area. Also note that the negative responses are not against the ONE but rather only reacting to the loss of critical parking.

3. Alternatives 3 & 4 in the report - Creating a 2 way protected bike lane and/or reconstructing the curb line are discussed as possibilities but are rejected either because they are “Outside the scope of a Quick Build Project” or part of the long term vision for the area. While we support the development of the One Greenway our hope is that the city does not take away parking for the sake of expediency or cost. This decision has a major impact on residents’ lives and should only be implemented if there are no viable alternatives. This is not a last resort situation and I will outline but a few possible alternate solutions that support both the One Greenway and the neighborhood it goes through.

4. Alternative 6 in the report - While reconstruction of curb lines is not within the “Quick Build” framework, this option should be considered as part of the planning for this bikeway. With this in mind, a short term compromise solution that works until curbing work can be implemented can help move this project forward positively.

5. Alternative 7 in the report - Shared parking arrangements are a great option but off street parking spaces in this neighborhood are also very limited and unless the city is prepared to allow greater lot coverage there is no room for additional off street parking. Additionally, creating new off street parking will contribute as much or more storm water runoff as widening the street would.

6. Alternative 8 in the report - Other parking opportunities have been identified by staff. This is fantastic! Parking is sorely needed and if there is the opportunity to implement the One Greenway AND add some parking that is a WIN WIN instead of a WIN LOOSE. Please act on this opportunity.

**ALTERNATE PROPOSALS**

Alternatives not discussed in the packet:

a. Have the west bound bike lane on Loomis Street (the Downhill Path) turn left on Green St, right on Hickock Place, and then right on North Union St. This would enable the One Greenway to utilize the existing north bound bike lane on N. Union St and eliminate the need for any counter flow travel. While this adds approximately 600 feet of additional travel to the path, both Green St and Hickock Pl are lightly traveled residential streets and are very pleasant to bike on. See map below.
b. Between Grant and Loomis merge the north bound vehicle and bike lanes to provide room for the south bound bike lane. Merged bike travel exists effectively in the north bound travel lane of Pine St., one of the busiest streets in Burlington. Below are 3 possible configurations that could accomplish this. If properly marked it could also be a traffic calming feature on the street. This option could be implemented as a short term solution which would fit within the “Quick Build” framework and allow the project to move ahead while the long term issues mentioned above are addressed and worked out.

N. Union St looking north at Grant St. Travel and Bike lanes share north corridor (like Pine St.) to Loomis. Parking provides a buffer between south bound cyclists and north bound traffic.
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we urge the Commission to postpone final approval of the recommendation to remove 10 parking spaces on N. Union Street and encourage staff to work with the neighbors directly impacted by this parking loss to try to develop a mutually acceptable bikeway solution.

Thank you for your consideration,

Lawrence Smith and Laura Waters
67 N. Union Street
For the project file.

Nicole Losch, PIP
Senior Transportation Planner
ph 802.865.5833 :: f 802.863.0466 :: nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov
645 Pine Street Suite A, Burlington VT 05401 ::
www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW

From: Amy Cudney [mailto:amyc@gearx.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 9:55 PM
To: Nicole Losch <nlosch@burlingtonvt.gov>; James Barr <jbarr@burlingtonvt.gov>; Jeffrey Padgett <jpadgett@burlingtonvt.gov>; Solveig Overby <soverby@burlingtonvt.gov>; Justine Sears <jsears@burlingtonvt.gov>; Christopher Gillman <cgillman@burlingtonvt.gov>; Tiki-Jon Archambeau <tarchambeau@burlingtonvt.gov>; Robert Alberry <ralberry@burlingtonvt.gov>
Subject: O.N.E. "Wiggle"

Dear members of the Public Works Commission,

I am sorry I will not be able to join you Wednesday, July 19th to share my excitement about the proposed O.N.E. "Wiggle."
I live in the O.N.E. on Walnut Street and so appreciate that this bike route will therefore allow me and my children to have a safer way to bike either to Battery Park (for concerts or Beanie!) or up the hill toward UVM (or the very fun playground at Mater Christi).
When biking alone, my kids still often opt to ride on the sidewalk in many parts of the city due to their uncertainty about whether cars will welcome them on the road.
I am delighted by each new section of bike path we carve out of our busy city streets.

Thanks in advance for your support of this terrific little piece of bike thoughtfulness.
Yours,
Amy Cudney
116 Walnut Street
802-865-7667
Please note that this communication and any response to it will be maintained as a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Public Records Act.