

## City of Burlington Housing Trust Fund (HTF) Application Scoring Form -- Project Awards

Project Name:

Project Agency:

Evaluator Name:

Date:

Amount requested:

HTF Allocation for this Fiscal Year	\$310,455.00	
<b>Minimum</b> amount which must be allocated for <b>projects</b> serving 80% of AMI*	\$102,450.15	
<b>Minimum</b> amount which must be allocated for <b>projects</b> serving 50% of AMI**	\$52,777.35	
<b>Priorities Per Ordinance/Resolution/Committee Action</b>	<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>
Will the proposed housing project be perpetually affordable? (first priority) (Yes= 5 points; No = 0 points)*	5	
Will the proposed housing project be affordable for 10-40 years? (second priority) (Yes= 1 point; No= 0 points)*	1	
Will the project serve households at or below 80% of AMI? (*No less than 33% of the annual disbursement of gifts, grants, or loans shall go to project grants...)**	5	
In addition to the above, will the project serve households at or below 50% of AMI? (**"...[n]o less than 17% of the annual disbursement of gifts, grants, or loans shall go to projects that directly benefit 'very low income' households [at or below 50% of AMI]..."**)	5	
Has the City already demonstrated its interest and support through the investment of CDBG funds, the provision of technical assistance, and/or acquisition of site control for the proposed housing project? (priority) (Yes= 5 points; No = 0 points)***	5	
<b>Other Factors (not listed in order of importance.)</b>	<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>
The application supports one or more of the priorities listed in the City's Housing Action Plan	5	
The application supports one or more of the priorities listed in the City's Consolidated Plan	5	
The proposed project supports an underserved and vulnerable population	5	
Experience of the applicant organization's development team	5	
Cost effectiveness of the project: number of units served	5	
Cost effectiveness of the project: cost per unit	5	
Project has other ancillary uses (community space, mixed use, etc.)	5	
Project addresses community need	5	
Project has community impact	5	
	<b>66</b>	

Evaluator Comments:

\*HTF Ordinance Preference Threshold

\*\*City Council Resolution Requirements (dated September 3, 2002)

\*\*\*Requirements for applications per the Aldermanic Community Development Committee (dated September 27, 1989)