

CREDIT OPINION

2 March 2016

New Issue

Contacts

Heather Guss 617-535-7693
 Analyst MIS
 heather.guss@moodys.com

Nicholas Lehman 617-535-7694
 Analyst
 nicholas.lehman@moodys.com

Burlington (City of) VT

New Issue - Moody's Upgrades Burlington, VT's GO Bonds to A3; Stable Outlook

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned an A3 rating to the City of Burlington, VT's \$22 million General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016A, a Baa2 rating to the \$4.9 million Refunding Certificates of Participation (Lakeview Garage Project), Series 2016A, and a Baa1 rating to the \$1.4 million Refunding Certificates of Participation (DPW facility Project), Series 2016B. Concurrently, Moody's has upgraded to A3 from Baa2 the rating on \$109 million of outstanding general obligation debt. Moody's has also upgraded to Baa1 from Baa3 the rating on \$1.7 million of outstanding Series 2000 COPs and upgraded to Baa2 from Ba1 the rating on \$6.1 million of outstanding COPs Series 1999A and 2005 COPs. The outlook is stable.

The upgrade to the A3 rating reflects an improved financial position following three years of audited operating surpluses, as well as the city's strength as the economic center of Vermont (Aaa stable). The rating also incorporates the manageable but increasing debt burden, and the enterprise risk associated with Burlington Telecom (BT).

The upgrade to the Baa1 and Baa2 ratings on the COPs reflects the improvement to the city's general credit profile while incorporating the appropriation risk of the COPs and essentiality of the projects.

Credit Strengths

- » Stable underlying economy and tax base serving as the economic center of the state
- » Low OPEB liability

Credit Challenges

- » Maintenance of balanced operations and improvement in reserves to healthy levels
- » Deferred maintenance will lead to increase in debt burden and debt service costs

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that management will maintain balanced operations and a satisfactory financial position, while managing increasing costs for salaries, employee benefits, and capital needs

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Trend of surplus operations and material growth in reserves and liquidity
- » Final resolution of BT sale

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Structurally imbalanced operations, reducing financial flexibility
- » Renewed reliance on cash flow borrowing
- » Material increase in the debt burden

Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Burlington (City of) VT	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 3,907,270	\$ 3,957,600	\$ 4,056,809	\$ 4,019,395	\$ 4,268,806
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 92,116	\$ 93,567	\$ 95,942	\$ 95,222	\$ 101,130
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	94.6%	102.9%	105.0%	105.0%	105.0%
Finances					
General Fund Revenue (\$000)	\$ 54,094	\$ 54,206	\$ 55,665	\$ 54,532	\$ 56,914
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	-25.9%	-20.4%	-1.9%	3.9%	10.4%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	-12.3%	6.8%	27.7%	4.7%	15.2%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 72,110	\$ 55,506	\$ 68,040	\$ 65,274	\$ 80,903
Net Direct Debt / General Fund Revenues (x)	1.3x	1.0x	1.2x	1.2x	1.4x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%	1.6%	1.9%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	2.7x	2.8x	3.0x	2.9x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	3.2%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%

As of fiscal year-end (June 30)
Source: Moody's Investors Service

Recent Developments

The city's financial position continues to improve. Audited fiscal 2015 results were in line with management's projections from last fall and reflect the third consecutive operating surplus. Effective fiscal 2015, the school department became a component unit of the city and the School Fund is no longer a major Governmental Fund of the city. This change has no material impact to the city's credit profile, and we continue to focus on General Fund operations.

Detailed Rating Considerations

Economy and Tax Base: Strong Healthcare and Higher Education Anchor Stable Tax Base

Burlington's diverse \$4.3 billion tax base will remain stable given the diversity of the local economy, its regional importance, and a significant institutional presence. Education and health services and government sector employment represent a large portion of the area's largest employers, approximately 44%. The city's two largest employers are the University of Vermont Medical Center and the University of Vermont & State Agr. Coll., VT (Aa3 stable), which comprise a combined 8,800 jobs, or 38% of the workforce. In the private sector, GlobalFoundries's Essex Junction facility (previously owned by IBM, Aa3 stable), which employs approximately 3,000 people, should remain stable over the near term following some recent voluntary separation agreements and a small amount of layoffs.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

The full value (estimated fair market value) increased by 6.2% in 2015, bringing the five year compound average annual growth to 1.6%. Fiscal 2015 taxable value represented the strongest growth in several years, increasing 4.4%, compared to a five year average of 0.8% (fiscal 2010–2014). Wealth levels are average, with median family income equal to 99.8% of the state and 105% of the US. The city's unemployment rate of 2.6% (November 2015) remains well below the state (3.5%) and US (4.8%).

Financial Operations and Reserves: Three Years of Audited Operating Surpluses Have Increased Reserves to Satisfactory Levels

The financial position continues to improve due to conservative budgeting and prudent expenditure management. Audited fiscal 2015 results reflect the third consecutive operating surplus, and available General Fund balance (excludes nonspendable and restricted) improved to \$5.9 million, or a 10.4% of revenues. The \$3.3 million surplus was due to conservative expenditure budgeting and some one-time revenues. Unassigned fund balance improved to \$4.3 million, or 7.5% of revenues.

The fiscal 2016 General Fund budget increased 3.6% due to salaries, employee benefits, and IT improvements. The budget was balanced with a 2.8% tax levy increase from new growth (the tax rate remained flat), fee increases, and some expenditure cuts. Management reports that operations are stable year to date and expects to add \$1 million to unassigned fund balance, as budgeted. Fiscal 2016 reserves should remain relatively level, however, given plans to spend approximately \$1.3 million for one time capital needs in the current year.

Future reviews will continue to focus on management's ability to maintain structurally balanced operations and gradually increase reserves, despite budgetary pressure from expected rising debt service costs due to deferred maintenance.

Additionally, uncertainty remains regarding whether the city will continue to operate BT [even after it finds a new buyer](#). The city's current focus remains on adding customers and improving the financial health of the enterprise, and management states that they will actively look for a buyer in 2017. While the concern regarding material financial liability has been resolved, because the long-term ownership and operations of BT remains unclear, the enterprise risk associated with BT will continue to be a rating factor going forward.

LIQUIDITY

Burlington's General Fund net cash position at the end of fiscal 2015 improved to \$8.7 million, or 15.2% of revenues. The city has reduced its line of credit with KeyBank National Association (Aa3 under review for possible downgrade) to \$5 million with no draws since fiscal 2013, and the city does not anticipate any cash flow borrowing in fiscal 2016.

Debt and Pensions: Debt Burden Will Increase Due to Deferred Maintenance; Long Term Liabilities Remain Manageable

Exclusive of the revenue bonds supported by the city's airport, electric and wastewater enterprise funds, the city's General Fund-supported net direct debt burden is currently 2.0% of full value. The city's debt burden continues to include general obligation debt issued on behalf of the school department, although debt service is paid by the school department. The debt burden will increase over the near term due to annual issuance of approximately \$7 million for annual capital needs for the city and the school and electric departments. In addition, the city plans to issue (pending voter approval) approximately \$25 million over the next four years to address deferred maintenance.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All debt is fixed rate and amortization of principal is below average, with 57.3% retired within ten years. Fiscal 2015 debt service costs of \$3.6 million (net of GO debt service issued for school purposes and paid by the school department) comprised 6.8% of expenditures.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

Burlington has no derivatives

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The city participates in the Burlington Employee Retirement System, a single-employer, defined benefit retirement plan. The city contributed \$5.2 million (net of BED and school department) to the plan in fiscal 2015, representing 9.8% of General Fund expenditures. The city's adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$158.7 million, or an above average 2.8 times General Fund revenues. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability

of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

The city contributed \$216,000 towards its annual OPEB cost in fiscal 2015, representing 68.2% of the cost and less than 1% of expenditures. The total unfunded liability is \$3.8 million as of June 30, 2015.

Total fixed costs for fiscal 2015, including debt service, required pension contributions and retiree healthcare payments, represented \$9.1 million, or 17% of expenditures.

Management and Governance

Vermont cities have an institutional framework score of "Aa," or strong. Revenues are highly predictable as they mostly consist of property taxes. Cities have a high revenue-raising ability given that property taxes can be increased annually without statutory limit. Expenditures are moderately predictable as they largely consist of general government and public safety costs. Cities generally have a moderate ability to reduce expenditures, given the presence of public sector unions.

The city's management team since 2012 continues to show commitment to addressing financial pressures with a sound approach and fiscal responsibility, which we expect will be aided by the recent adoption of a formal fund balance policy and ten-year capital plan.

Legal Security

The bonds are secured by the city's general obligation unlimited tax pledge.

The COPs are secured by lease payments from the city that are subject to annual appropriation.

Use of Proceeds

Bond proceeds will refund various maturities of eight outstanding bond series for estimated net present value savings of \$990,000, or 7.6% of refunded principal, with no extension of final maturity.

The 2016A COP proceeds will refund the outstanding Series 1999A and 2005 COPs for estimated net present value savings of \$608,000, or 11.1% of refunded principal, with no extension of final maturity.

The 2016B COP proceeds will refund the outstanding Series 2000 COPs for estimated net present value savings of \$130,000, or 8.8% of refunded principal, with no extension of final maturity.

Obligor Profile

Burlington is located in northwestern Vermont along the coast of Lake Champlain. The population is approximately 42,200.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in the general obligation rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. The principal methodology used in the lease-backed rating was The Fundamentals of Credit Analysis for Lease-Backed Municipal Obligations published in December 2011. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on www.moody.com for a copy of these methodologies.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

BURLINGTON (CITY OF) VT

Issue	Rating
General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016A	A3
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$21,970,000
Expected Sale Date	03/14/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation
Refunding Certificates of Participation (DPW Facility Project), Series 2016B	Baa1
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$1,410,000
Expected Sale Date	03/14/2016
Rating Description	Lease Rental: Appropriation
Refunding Certificates of Participation (Lakeview Garage Project), Series 2016A	Baa2
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$4,905,000
Expected Sale Date	03/14/2016
Rating Description	Lease Rental: Appropriation

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1018092

Contacts

Heather Guss
Analyst
heather.guss@moodys.com

617-535-7693
MIS

Nicholas Lehman
Analyst
nicholas.lehman@moodys.com

617-535-7694

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454