



**CITY OF BURLINGTON**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

OFFICE OF PLANNING  
645 PINE STREET, SUITE A  
BURLINGTON, VT 05402  
802.863.9094 P  
802.863.0466 F  
802.863.0450 TTY  
[WWW.BURLINGTONVT.GOV](http://WWW.BURLINGTONVT.GOV)


**CHAPIN SPENCER**  
*DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS*

**NORMAN J. BALDWIN, P.E.**  
*ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS*

Date: July 24, 2018

To: Eric Farrell, Developer  
Mark Smith, Traffic Engineer Resource Systems Group  
Tony Reddington, Interested Burlington Citizen  
Jim Holway, Interested Burlington Citizen

C.C. Chapin Spencer, Director of Public Works

From: Norman J. Baldwin, P.E.   
City Engineer/Ass't Director of Public Works

Subject: Cambrian Rise-Review of Mini-Roundabout Proposal South Road and North Avenue

In reviewing the proposal provided by Eric Farrell's Traffic Consultant, Mark Smith of RSG and the independent research regarding Roundabout Design, I have considered the following facts and I am providing my recommendation.

Here are my given assumptions; I have made use of these assumptions to render my recommendation:

- North Avenue Right of Way is a 66-foot right of way, and no other opportunities for land acquisition are available.
- Design Year 2027, PM Peak Hour Traffic-
- Assuming .5% annual growth, (CCRPC uses .6% for North Ave Corridor, Cambrian rise .47%)
- Assuming 75% of the traffic will make use of South Road, 25% North Road
- 2016 AADT-11,042 vpd
- 2018 AADT= $11,042(1+.005)^2=11,042*1.010=11,152$  vpd
- 2019 AADT= $(11,152 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) + .25 * 4,500 \text{ vpd} = 11,208 \text{ vpd} + 1,125 \text{ vpd} = 12,333$  vpd, Phase I Developed
- 2020 AADT= $(12,333 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) + .25 * 4,500 \text{ vpd} = 12,392 \text{ vpd} + 1,125 \text{ vpd} = 13,519$  vpd, Phase II Developed
- 2021 AADT= $(13,519 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) + .25 * 4,500 \text{ vpd} = 13,587 \text{ vpd} + 1,125 \text{ vpd} = 14,712$  vpd, Phase III Developed
- 2022 AADT= $(14,712 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) = 14,785$  vpd, Phase IV Developed access to North Rd. available
- 2023 AADT= $(14,785 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) = 14,858$  vpd, Full Build Out Year after
- 2024 AADT= $(14,858 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) = 14,932$  vpd, Full Build Out 2 Years after
- 2025 AADT= $(14,932 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) = 15,006$  vpd, Full Build Out 3 years after
- 2026 AADT= $(15,006 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) = 15,081$  vpd, Full Build Out 4 years after
- 2027 AADT= $(15,081 \text{ vpd} * 1.005) = 15,157$  vpd, Full Build Out 5 years after

An Equal Opportunity Employer

*This material is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities. To request an accommodation, please call 802.863.9094 (voice) or 802.863.0450 (TTY).*

- Inscribed Circle Diameter-57'
- Circulatory Roadway Width-30'
- Cross Street Traffic 4,500 vpd
- 1% truck traffic During Peak Hour

Conclusions:

### **Check #1: AADT Capacity of 50' ICD Mini-Roundabout**

Given the entering traffic volumes, the dimensional limitations of the Right of Way, the proposed dimensions of the roundabout proposed as a Mini-Roundabout.

Cross Street Traffic(Cambrian Rise-South Road)=3,375 vpd  
Major Street Traffic(North Avenue)=11,152 vpd

$$\% \text{ Cross Street Traffic} = \frac{3,375 \text{ vpd}}{(11,152 \text{ vpd} + 3,375 \text{ vpd})} = 23.2\%$$

Referencing Transportation Research Boards, Roundabouts: An informational Guide, Second Edition, Exhibit 3-16, Page 3-25,

Assuming 30% Left Turns, 22% Cross Street Traffic, the Exhibit Indicates a Maximum AADT of 12,500 vpd for a Mini-Roundabout.

Comparing the referenced exhibit against the predicted AADT's. This suggests that capacity for the proposed Mini-Roundabout will exceed the performance capacity ratio by 2020.

### **Check #2: PM Peak Hour Design Year 2042**

In addition to the AADT analysis above I took the opportunity to email Wei Zheng, Ph.D., P.E., Highway Research Engineer/Program Manager, Intersection Safety R&D, FHWA Office of Safety R&D. I had asked if he had any suggested performance analysis tools that would be useful to performing an operational analysis for a mini-roundabout.

In response, Mr. Zheng provided some example mini-roundabout installations and offered the following commentary.

“We have evaluated 15 mini-roundabouts in 7 states, including snow regions in Michigan and Minnesota. In my experience, for intersections on 2-lane and 3-lane roads, a mini-roundabout has the same or higher capacity than traffic signal (especially if the left-turn volumes are high), plus less demand for ROW, and less delay during non-peak hours. If the total peak hour demand is 1,300 vph or less, a mini-roundabout will work.”

He then provided me with the Roundabout Planning/Operational Analysis tool entitled “CAP-X”.

**2027 PM Peak Hour Demand Totals**-1,754 vph(RSG TIS dated 12.21.16, PM 2027 Build) exceeds suggested 1,300 vph as suggest above.

**2027 PM Peak+15 years (20 Year Design Life)**, assuming 2% Heavy Vehicle Traffic, and .5% growth for the additional 15 years, the analysis tool indicated for:

- 50' ICD Mini-Roundabout, **V/C ratio of .96**
- 75' ICD Mini-Roundabout, **V/C ratio of .93**

**2027 PM Peak+5 years (10 year Design Life)**, assuming 2% Heavy Vehicle Traffic, and .5% growth for the additional 5 years, the analysis tool indicated for:

- 50' ICD Mini-Roundabout, **V/C ratio of .90**
- 75' ICD Mini-Roundabout, **V/C ratio of .88**

According to reference materials at a  $v/c \geq .85$  the predicted performance of a roundabout begins to be more random and unpredictable.





### **RECOMMENDATION:**





Given both methods operational analysis of the proposed 50' ICD Mini-Roundabout indicate exceedance prior to or shortly after full build out. It is my recommendation that we **not support** the request to consider the installation of a Mini-Roundabout. I welcome further conversations if I have in anyway misunderstood the constraint parameters.

# Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions

## Input Worksheet

Project Name:	<i>Cambrian Rise Mini-Roundabout Site Evaluation</i>
Project Number:	<i>2027 North Avenue and South Road PM Peak+15 Years</i>
Location:	<i>North Avenue and South Road, Burlington, Vermont</i>
Date:	April 15, 2018

Traffic Volume Demand						
	Volume (Veh/hr)				Percent (%)	
	U-Turn 	Left 	Thru 	Right 	Truck	Volume Growth
Eastbound	0	37	0	128	2.00%	7.50%
Westbound	0	1	0	1	2.00%	7.50%
Southbound	0	1	677	50	2.00%	7.50%
Northbound	0	158	700	1	2.00%	7.50%
Adjustment Factor	0.80	0.95	/	0.85	/	/
Suggested	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.95</b>	/	<b>0.85</b>	/	/
Truck to PCE Factor				<b>Suggested = 2.00</b>	2.00	
Critical Lane Volume				1600		

Equivalent Passenger Car Volume				
	Volume (Veh/hr)			
	U-Turn 	Left 	Thru 	Right 
Eastbound	0	41	0	140
Westbound	0	1	0	1
Southbound	0	1	742	55
Northbound	0	173	768	1

Notes:	
Left-Turn Adjustment Factor	<i>Conversion of left-turning vehicles to equivalent through vehicles</i>
Right-turn Adjustment Factor	<i>Conversion of right-turning vehicles to equivalent through vehicles</i>
U-turn Adjustment Factor	<i>Conversion of U-turning vehicles to equivalent through vehicles</i>
Truck to PCE Factor	<i>1 truck = X Passenger Car Equivalents</i>
Critical Lane Volume Sum Limit	<i>Saturation Value for Critical Lane Volume Sum at an intersection</i>

# Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions

## Input Worksheet

Project Name:	Cambrian Rise Mini-Roundabout Site Evaluation			Critical Lane Volume Sum			
Project Number:	2027 North Avenue and South Road PM Peak+15 Years			Acceptable Configurations			
Location	North Avenue and South Road, Burlington, Vermont			< 1200	1200 - 1399	1400 - 1599	≥ 1600
Date	April 15, 2018			27	2	3	0

### Results for Intersections

#	TYPE OF INTERSECTION	Sheet	Zone 1 (North)		Zone 2 (South)		Zone 3 (East)		Zone 4 (West)		Zone 5 (Center)		Overall v/c Ratio	Ranking
			CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C		
1	Conventional	<u>FULL</u>											0.37	7
2	Conventional Shared RT LN	<u>CSRL</u>											0.47	14
3.1	Quadrant Roadway	<u>S-W</u>			596	<u>0.37</u>			214	<u>0.13</u>			0.37	8
3.2		<u>N-E</u>	447	<u>0.28</u>			204	<u>0.13</u>			635	<u>0.40</u>	0.40	12
3.3		<u>S-E</u>			488	<u>0.31</u>	488	<u>0.31</u>			549	<u>0.34</u>	0.34	1
3.4		<u>N-W</u>	596	<u>0.37</u>					295	<u>0.18</u>	635	<u>0.40</u>	0.40	11
4.1	Partial Displaced Left Turn	<u>N-S</u>	406	<u>0.25</u>	554	<u>0.35</u>					406	<u>0.25</u>	0.35	3
4.2		<u>E-W</u>					1	<u>0.00</u>	79	<u>0.05</u>	575	<u>0.36</u>	0.36	6
5	Displaced Left Turn	<u>FULL</u>	406	<u>0.25</u>	554	<u>0.35</u>	1	<u>0.00</u>	79	<u>0.05</u>	406	<u>0.25</u>	0.35	3
6.1	Restricted Crossing U-Turn	<u>N-S</u>	400	<u>0.25</u>	497	<u>0.31</u>	406	<u>0.25</u>	554	<u>0.35</u>			0.35	3
6.2		<u>E-W</u>	1507	<u>0.94</u>	1592	<u>0.99</u>	589	<u>0.37</u>	555	<u>0.35</u>			0.99	15
7.1	Median U-Turn	<u>N-S</u>	508	<u>0.32</u>	497	<u>0.31</u>					683	<u>0.43</u>	0.43	13
7.2		<u>E-W</u>					135	<u>0.08</u>	92	<u>0.06</u>	550	<u>0.34</u>	0.34	2
8.1	Partial Median U-Turn	<u>N-S</u>	615	<u>0.38</u>	472	<u>0.30</u>					601	<u>0.38</u>	0.38	10
8.2		<u>E-W</u>					27	<u>0.02</u>	91	<u>0.06</u>	601	<u>0.38</u>	0.38	9



# Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions

## Input Worksheet

### Results for Roundabouts

#	TYPE OF ROUNDABOUT	Zone 1 (North)			Zone 3 (East)			Zone 2 (South)			Zone 4 (West)			Overall v/c Ratio	Ranking
		Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3		
9.1	<u>50 ICD</u>	0.96			0.83			0.93			0.19			0.96	7
9.2	<u>75 ICD</u>	0.92			0.59			0.93			0.02			0.93	6
9.3	<u>1 X 1</u>	0.84			0.34			0.87			0.00			0.87	5
9.4	<u>1 X 2</u>	0.80			0.08	0.26		0.86			0.00	0.00		0.86	4
9.5	<u>2 X 1</u>	0.39	0.45		0.27			0.51	0.36		0.00			0.51	3
9.6	<u>2 X 2</u>	0.38	0.43		0.00	0.00		0.51	0.35		0.06	0.21		0.51	2
9.7	<u>3 X 3</u>	0.00	0.37	0.40	0.04	0.04	0.20	0.08	0.41	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	1





### Results for Interchanges





#	TYPE OF INTERCHANGE	Sheet	Zone 1 (Rt Mrg)		Zone 2 (Lt Mrg)		Zone 3 (Ctr. 1)		Zone 4 (Ctr. 2)		Zone 5 (Lt Mrg)		Zone 6 (Rt Mrg)		Overall v/c Ratio	Ranking
			CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C		
10.1	Diamond	<u>N-S</u>					453	0.28	358	0.22					0.28	6
10.2		<u>E-W</u>					113	0.07	88	0.05					0.07	2
11.1	Partial Cloverleaf	<u>N-S</u>					430	0.27	315	0.20					0.27	5
11.2		<u>E-W</u>					42	0.28	43	0.03					0.03	1
13.1	Displaced Left Turn	<u>N-S</u>	406	0.25			406	0.25	425	0.27			554	0.35	0.35	7
13.2		<u>E-W</u>	144	0.09			88	0.05	183	0.11			2	0.00	0.11	4
14.1	Double Crossover Diamond	<u>N-S</u>	406	0.25	373	0.23	776	0.49	842	0.53	514	0.32	519	0.32	0.53	8
14.2		<u>E-W</u>	151	0.09	107	0.07	22	0.01	183	0.11	1	0.00	2	0.00	0.11	3
15.1	Single Point	<u>N-S</u>	271	0.17			689	0.43					412	0.26	0.43	#N/A
15.2		<u>E-W</u>	151	0.09			225	0.14					2	0.00	0.14	#N/A

# Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions

## Input Worksheet

Project Name:	Cambrian Rise Mini-Roundabout Site Evaluation
Project Number:	2027 North Avenue and South Road PM Peak+5 Years
Location:	North Avenue and South Road, Burlington, Vermont
Date:	April 15, 2018

Traffic Volume Demand						
	Volume (Veh/hr)				Percent (%)	
	U-Turn 	Left 	Thru 	Right 	Truck	Volume Growth
Eastbound	0	37	0	128	2.00%	2.50%
Westbound	0	1	0	1	2.00%	2.50%
Southbound	0	1	677	50	2.00%	2.50%
Northbound	0	158	700	1	2.00%	
Adjustment Factor	0.80	0.95	/	0.85	/	/
Suggested	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.95</b>	/	<b>0.85</b>	/	/
Truck to PCE Factor				<b>Suggested = 2.00</b>	2.00	
Critical Lane Volume				1600		

Equivalent Passenger Car Volume				
	Volume (Veh/hr)			
	U-Turn 	Left 	Thru 	Right 
Eastbound	0	39	0	134
Westbound	0	1	0	1
Southbound	0	1	708	52
Northbound	0	161	714	1

Notes:	
Left-Turn Adjustment Factor	Conversion of left-turning vehicles to equivalent through vehicles
Right-turn Adjustment Factor	Conversion of right-turning vehicles to equivalent through vehicles
U-turn Adjustment Factor	Conversion of U-turning vehicles to equivalent through vehicles
Truck to PCE Factor	1 truck = X Passenger Car Equivalents
Critical Lane Volume Sum Limit	Saturation Value for Critical Lane Volume Sum at an intersection

# Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions

## Input Worksheet

Project Name:	Cambrian Rise Mini-Roundabout Site Evaluation			Critical Lane Volume Sum			
Project Number:	2027 North Avenue and South Road PM Peak+5 Years			Acceptable Configurations			
Location	North Avenue and South Road, Burlington, Vermont			< 1200	1200 - 1399	1400 - 1599	≥ 1600
Date	April 15, 2018			27	3	2	0

## Results for Intersections

#	TYPE OF INTERSECTION	Sheet	Zone 1 (North)		Zone 2 (South)		Zone 3 (East)		Zone 4 (West)		Zone 5 (Center)		Overall v/c Ratio	Ranking
			CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C		
1	Conventional	<u>FULL</u>											0.35	7
2	Conventional Shared RT LN	<u>CSRL</u>											0.45	14
3.1	Quadrant Roadway	<u>S-W</u>			565	<u>0.35</u>			205	<u>0.13</u>			0.35	8
3.2		<u>N-E</u>	426	<u>0.27</u>			190	<u>0.12</u>					0.37	12
3.3		<u>S-E</u>			466	<u>0.29</u>							0.32	1
3.4		<u>N-W</u>	565	<u>0.35</u>					277	<u>0.17</u>			0.37	11
4.1	Partial Displaced Left Turn	<u>N-S</u>	378	<u>0.24</u>	524	<u>0.33</u>							0.33	3
4.2		<u>E-W</u>					1	<u>0.00</u>	74	<u>0.05</u>			0.34	6
5	Displaced Left Turn	<u>FULL</u>	378	<u>0.24</u>	524	<u>0.33</u>	1	<u>0.00</u>	74	<u>0.05</u>	378	<u>0.24</u>	0.33	3
6.1	Restricted Crossing U-Turn	<u>N-S</u>	381	<u>0.24</u>	462	<u>0.29</u>	378	<u>0.24</u>	524	<u>0.33</u>			0.33	3
6.2		<u>E-W</u>	1438	<u>0.90</u>	1506	<u>0.94</u>	548	<u>0.34</u>	530	<u>0.33</u>			0.94	15
7.1	Median U-Turn	<u>N-S</u>	482	<u>0.30</u>	463	<u>0.29</u>					641	<u>0.40</u>	0.40	13
7.2		<u>E-W</u>					126	<u>0.08</u>	88	<u>0.05</u>			0.32	2
8.1	Partial Median U-Turn	<u>N-S</u>	582	<u>0.36</u>	439	<u>0.27</u>					569	<u>0.36</u>	0.36	10
8.2		<u>E-W</u>					25	<u>0.02</u>	87	<u>0.05</u>			0.36	9



# Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions

Input Worksheet

#	TYPE OF ROUNDABOUT	Results for Roundabouts												Overall v/c Ratio	Ranking
		Zone 1 (North)			Zone 3 (East)			Zone 2 (South)			Zone 4 (West)				
		Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3		
9.1	<u>50 ICD</u>	<u>0.90</u>			<u>0.70</u>			<u>0.86</u>			<u>0.03</u>			<u>0.90</u>	7
9.2	<u>75 ICD</u>	<u>0.87</u>			<u>0.51</u>			<u>0.86</u>			<u>0.01</u>			<u>0.87</u>	6
9.3	<u>1 X 1</u>	<u>0.79</u>			<u>0.31</u>			<u>0.81</u>			<u>0.00</u>			<u>0.81</u>	5
9.4	<u>1 X 2</u>	<u>0.75</u>			<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.24</u>		<u>0.80</u>			<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>		<u>0.80</u>	4
9.5	<u>2 X 1</u>	<u>0.37</u>	<u>0.42</u>		<u>0.25</u>			<u>0.48</u>	<u>0.33</u>		<u>0.00</u>			<u>0.48</u>	3
9.6	<u>2 X 2</u>	<u>0.35</u>	<u>0.40</u>		<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>		<u>0.47</u>	<u>0.33</u>		<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.19</u>		<u>0.47</u>	2
9.7	<u>3 X 3</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.35</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.03</u>	<u>0.18</u>		<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.31</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.38</u>	1

#	TYPE OF INTERCHANGE	Sheet	Results for Interchanges																		Overall v/c Ratio	Ranking
			Zone 1 (Rt Mrg)		Zone 2 (Lt Mrg)		Zone 3 (Ctr. 1)		Zone 4 (Ctr. 2)		Zone 5 (Lt Mrg)		Zone 6 (Rt Mrg)									
			CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C	CLV	V/C								
10.1	Diamond	<u>N-S</u>																		<u>0.26</u>	6	
10.2		<u>E-W</u>																			<u>0.07</u>	2
11.1	Partial Cloverleaf	<u>N-S</u>																			<u>0.25</u>	5
11.2		<u>E-W</u>																			<u>0.03</u>	1
13.1	Displaced Left Turn	<u>N-S</u>	378	<u>0.24</u>				378	<u>0.24</u>	396	<u>0.25</u>										<u>0.33</u>	7
13.2		<u>E-W</u>	135	<u>0.08</u>					82	<u>0.05</u>	171	<u>0.11</u>			2	<u>0.00</u>					<u>0.11</u>	4
14.1	Double Crossover Diamond	<u>N-S</u>	378	<u>0.24</u>	356	<u>0.22</u>			731	<u>0.46</u>	792	<u>0.50</u>	479	<u>0.30</u>	496	<u>0.31</u>					<u>0.50</u>	8
14.2		<u>E-W</u>	142	<u>0.09</u>	100	<u>0.06</u>			21	<u>0.01</u>	170	<u>0.11</u>	1	<u>0.00</u>	2	<u>0.00</u>					<u>0.11</u>	3
15.1	Single Point	<u>N-S</u>	252	<u>0.16</u>				651	<u>0.41</u>												<u>0.41</u>	#N/A
15.2		<u>E-W</u>	142	<u>0.09</u>				211	<u>0.13</u>						2	<u>0.00</u>					<u>0.13</u>	#N/A