

Burlington Planning Commission

149 Church Street
Burlington, VT 05401
Telephone: (802) 865-7188
(802) 865-7195 (FAX)
(802) 865-7142 (TTY)

www.burlingtonvt.gov/pz

*Yves Bradley, Chair
Bruce Baker, Vice-Chair
Lee Buffinton
Emily Lee
Andy Montroll
Harris Roen
Jennifer Wallace-Brodeur
Vacant, Youth Member*



Burlington Planning Commission Long Range Planning Committee Meeting Notes

**Wednesday, May 11, 2016 at 12pm
SEABA, 404 Pine Street**

1. Announcements

No announcements.

2. planBTV: South End Plan

Staff provided a presentation on revisions to the Brownfields & Stormwater elements of the draft plan. Discussion and feedback from the Committee and public included:

- We need to encourage more density of buildings with green space for stormwater, but not one-story suburban-style infill. Some examples of redevelopment of sites did not improve stormwater conditions.
- Can we make information about things people can do for stormwater on their own lots more widely known? Seems like an education campaign. Will see what Integrated Plan addresses, but perhaps some educational signage about raingardens along Pine Street could be integrated into the vision of Pine Street Linear Art Park.
- What is the stormwater infrastructure that will be associated with the Champlain Parkway?
- Not all water tables and soils suitable to some low impact development techniques. Green roofs aren't always the best solution if there is no requirement for management.
- (Submitted via email by LRPC Member) Need to stress that development in this area use Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) consistent with City goals and the GSI Order signed by Gov. Shumlin. Plan should support chloride application management. This will be the next impairment for Englesby Brook, and we can be ahead of the curve by managing road salt.
- There are other sites beyond what is mapped that have soil contamination. The map needs to reflect that. Will better incorporate definitions for high and medium risk sites, discuss urban soils, and make another legend item for former industrial, but not brownfield.
- Do we have an assessment of soils that are not suitable to gardening?
- Proposed infill development should not be shown in the same massing style as existing buildings- this is misleading. Plan should say something about BERA.

- 453 Pine Street seems like a "no zone" for redevelopment. Seems like the plan should say what sites we don't want to be redeveloped.

3. Adjourn

Next meeting is Wednesday, May 17 at 5:30pm at DPW.