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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditors' Report

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Burlington, Vermont

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in
the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits con-
tained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the
United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-
type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major
fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Burlington,
Vermont (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related
notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s basic
financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 30, 2018.

Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements
of the School District and Electric Department, as described in our report on the City’s
financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors’
testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that
are reported on separately by those auditors. The financial statements of the Electric
Department were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the
City’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit
procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing
our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, non-compliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Melanson Heath

January 30, 2018
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditors’ Report

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Burlington, Vermont

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Burlington Vermont’s (the City’s) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of City’s major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

The City’s basic financial statements include the operation of the School District (a discretely presented component unit) which expended $6,483,650 in federal awards which is not included in the City’s Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended June 30, 2017. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the School District because a separate single audit in accordance with Uniform Guidance was performed.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.
Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City’s major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City’s compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance
requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated January 24, 2017 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Melanson Heath

March 22, 2018
### Federal Agency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Federal Pass Through</th>
<th>Passed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass-through Agency</td>
<td>CFDA Identifying</td>
<td>Federal Through to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Title</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Expenditures Subrecipient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

**CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants 14.218 N/A</th>
<th>$653,439</th>
<th>$380,259</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster</td>
<td>$653,439</td>
<td>$380,259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Direct Federal Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home Investment Partnerships Program 14.239 N/A</th>
<th>548,734</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuum of Care Program 14.267 N/A</td>
<td>16,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control in Privately Owned Housing 14.90 0 N/A</td>
<td>1,234,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>$2,453,226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### U.S. Department of Justice

**Direct Federal Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missing Children's Assistance 16.543 N/A</th>
<th>6,236</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program 16.738 N/A</td>
<td>51,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S. Department of Justice</td>
<td>$206,186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### U.S. Department of Transportation

**Direct Federal Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Improvement Program 20.106 N/A</th>
<th>9,598,916</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster</td>
<td>$1,680,713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Highway Safety Cluster**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State and Community Highway Safety 20.600 1497-02140-1415-1007</th>
<th>14,899</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Highway Safety Cluster</td>
<td>$18,624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total U.S. Department of Transportation | $11,397,974 |

#### Environmental Protection Agency

**Clean Water State Revolving Fund Cluster**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds 66.458 RF1-196-1</th>
<th>249,291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds 66.458 RF1-187-1</td>
<td>93,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Clean Water State Revolving Fund Cluster</td>
<td>$342,737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Environmental Protection Agency | $342,737 |

#### Corporation for National and Community Service

**Passed Through Vermont Commission on National and Community Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AmeriCorps 94.006 03400-12ACH-CEDO-FY16</th>
<th>49,845</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AmeriCorps 94.006 03400-12ACH-CEDO-FY17</td>
<td>163,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Corporation for National and Community Service</td>
<td>$213,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### U.S. Department of Homeland Security

**Passed Through Vermont Department of Public Safety**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law Enforcement Officer Reimbursement Agreement Program 97.090 HST30213HSMLR010</th>
<th>177,238</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security</td>
<td>$177,238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Federal Expenditures | $14,791,075 | $380,259 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.
Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the “Schedule”) includes the federal award activity of the City under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the City.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

- Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Tribal Governments, or the cost principles contained in Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.
- The City has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.
CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS’ RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? ___ yes ✔ no
- Significant deficiencies identified? ___ yes ✔ none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? ___ yes ✔ no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? ___ yes ✔ no
- Significant deficiencies identified? ___ yes ✔ none reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major programs:

Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Unmodified
Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control in Privately Owned Housing Unmodified
Airport Improvement Program Unmodified
Highway Planning and Construction Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? ___ yes ✔ no

Identification of major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number(s)</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.218</td>
<td>Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.239</td>
<td>Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control in Privately Owned Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>Airport Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.205</td>
<td>Highway Planning and Construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? ___ yes ✔ no
SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None.

SECTION IV - SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

There were no findings in the prior year.