

ADDENDUM 1

Date: April 9, 2015
Project: Oakledge Park Siting Study & Master Plan
To: All bidders
From: BPRW Project Coordinator, Jon Adams-Kollitz

The following questions were received via email:

1. Under the site inventory and analysis scope you request soil borings representative of existing geological conditions. Can you define what you mean by geologic conditions? Do you want bedrock samples as well?
Soil type (physical/chemical characteristics), depth to bedrock, seasonal hydrology
2. Location of existing infrastructure and amenities. Would this include a topo survey? Would we also have access to old engineering plans, etc. of the site?
Yes. Yes.
3. You do not mention potential permitting cost/expenses would you like this included in the proposal scope?
We are not aware of any permits necessary for this work
4. How involved do you want the Natural Resource Inventory? We could offer a detailed tree inventory?
Generalized plant community mapping with condition assessment, including wetlands delineation. Location of important specimen trees above 20" dbh.
5. Under the evaluate and analyze section at the bottom "The impacts of a future, dedicated, off-street recreation path along the north side of Austin Drive..." Please define what you mean by impacts here and to what extent?
Look at the ecological, stormwater & engineering (civil & transportation) aspects of locating off street path in the Austin Drive corridor
6. How many borings are required and to what depth? Do the boring reports need to provide information regarding soil bearing capacity to inform structural foundation design?
Minimum of 10 borings that represent diversity of soil types. Need to be analyzed for capacity for foundations, pavement, playground surfacing, infiltration/wetland restoration, etc.



7. To what extent does the groundwater/sub-surface/hydrology need to be mapped and for what purpose?

We need a sound understanding of what is happening with sub-surface water to guide the potential siting of future amenities and elimination or relocation of existing amenities that may not currently be an appropriate fit for the park. Understanding the park's hydrology will inform the park's overall capacity, in terms of both physical amenities and stormwater management. Groundwater/hydrology information will clarify stormwater management as it exists now and inform how the system can holistically be improved.

8. The RFP indicates that the stormwater management should, "minimize impact of seasonal high water table..." Can you clarify what is meant by this criterion? Is the goal for stormwater treatment practices to not impact the seasonal high water table or is there concern that the seasonal high water table will be detrimental to certain treatment practices?

We want to make sound decisions about what can exist sustainably in areas of seasonal high water. If seasonal high water will have an impact on viability of treatment practices, we should know that.

9. Is there data available from the City on both pedestrian and traffic volume? Or peak season daily park usage data? If not, is Parks staff available to conduct these counts?

Usage data is generally difficult to track in park areas, and we do not have formal counts at Oakledge. That said, this park is the only regional park in the south end of town that gets an extreme amount of use from residents and visitors during the summer months, and a high amount of use during the rest of the year. On peak days both parking lots are easily filled. Because the Bike Path actually goes through the park, Oakledge also has a high number of users arriving by bike. Parks staff may be available to conduct counts on a limited basis if the scope and duration is clearly defined and pending staff capacity.