



February 4, 2019

BURLINGTON GREENWAY REHABILITATION PHASE 3a CONSTRUCTION RESIDENT ENGINEERING SERVICES RFP

Addendum 1

IMPORTANT GENERAL UPDATE: PLEASE ORGANIZE YOUR COST PROPOSAL FOLLOWING THE SAME FORMAT AS THE ENGINEER'S OPINION OF COST

The following questions were received on time from interested proposers:

- Which permits have been obtained for this project and can those be made available so the RE team can understand the requirements to which the contractor will be held?
Expecting Construction and Operational State of Vermont Stormwater; Wetlands; Lakes and Ponds. Army Corps of Engineering
- Is the RE firm expected to provide the Materials and Testing services, or just review results and documentation as described under Task 3 on page 4 of the RFP?
Provide/subcontract the materials testing and review results
- If the RE firm is providing Materials and Testing services, does BPRW want to have an bituminous plant inspector during pavement operations, or just in the field?
Field only
- Are the quantities for the project available? If not, is there a list of items that BPRW can share so we can better understand the full scope? **Not yet**
- Does BPRW have a budget for the RE services that could be shared? **We expect to pay approximately 10% of the project cost**
- What are the selection criteria to be used to score the proposals? We are sorry this was not in the original RFP. Here is the scoring chart:



Proposals will be evaluated on the following criteria:

EVALUATION & SELECTION	PT
1 - Experience/Qualifications	15
2 - Project Understanding/Knowledge of Area	15
3 - Cost/Value <i>Proposal cost</i> <i>Additional costs</i>	30
4 - Start Date & Schedule	10
5 - Clarity of Proposal, Skills, and Expertise	10
6 - References	10
7 - Completeness of Submission	10
Total Points	100

- Item 1 under Task 2 mentions full time presence during all active construction. It also talks about the QEP possibly managing some of the construction oversight. Is there a certain percentage of time that we should assume that the QEP will be covering?
The scope of work and number of hours that a QEP will be necessary to have onsite is minimal. Please target 90% of the total number of hours for a resident engineer to be onsite.
- If the contractor wants to work on Saturdays and/or Sundays, will this be allowed?
Yes, but likely only on Saturdays.
- In the schedule given in the RFP, it appears that the construction duration is expected to be approximately 35 weeks. Assuming a 50-hour work week, this would be a 1750-hour effort. Does BPRW see this as reasonable?
Yes, for the 2019 construction season that seems like a reasonable construction duration. If the project has unfinished items that carry over into early 2020, there will likely be additional hours necessary
- The schedule in the RFP indicates that the RE firm will be under contract on March 1, 2019 and that the project will be bid on March 5, 2019. How much effort does BPRW anticipate for the constructability review of the final bid package and the consulting support during the bid process as noted under section II Description of Project in the RFP?
Please allot 10 hours for two meetings and time to review drawings. Please also highlight your expertise and success in this activity in your proposal
- Will the Japanese Knotweed which needs to be removed be shown on the plans and quantified?
There will be approximately 150 square feet of knotweed in the area of Harrison Ave and the RR tracks
- Will there be a field office provided for the RE?



Yes

- Is the estimated cost of Segment 1 available?
Segment 1 will not be included in Phase 3a so please do not include an estimate for that portion of the project