

Lea Terhune, Wards 4/7 NPA

A few questions, which may be irrelevant if there is a revised version, but here goes:

- Paragraph 2: 58% of Burlington renters (in Downtown district?) spend an average of 44% of their income on housing? What percent are students? Is the statistic an average or a mean?
- Paragraph 3: Does the term *historic neighborhoods* refer to all housing over 50 years old, or another criteria? It seems to be used in this report to designate all existing housing in the city that is not a high rise, multi-unit structure. Are all SF homes now considered historic housing stock?
- Paragraph 5: "Envisions significant new housing that is consistent with the city's current character": where is that character defined? Doesn't form based code do away with character of the neighborhood since it is subjective? Or how will character of neighborhood figure into form based code?
- Paragraph I.2.: What effect does the action was taken by City Council have on permit applications that are filed today? Has there been further action taken by Council regarding this plan?
- Paragraph I.3.: Is there a report on improvements to IZ? Assuming the 90 day period starts when the draft plan is adopted,, and given that the information on this page is not up to date, what is the status of this IZ report?
- Paragraph I.4.: Is a consultant to review city's construction-related code ordinances in the (FY) 2016 budget?
- Paragraph I.6.: I remember when the Building Permit Office and P&Z were directed to bring permit fees in line with staff time and skills required to review applications and manage a responsible permit process. I remember a developer who came in and whined that the city's permit fees were too high. Meanwhile, he was proposing a HUGE project, he declined to participate in Sketch Plan Review, he insisted on going right to permit review, and he attended DRB with no less than 8 lawyers and architects -- which was not cheap! Our staff members were not overwhelmed, and they did their job. This developer complained about the cost of our staff (permit application fee) to review his permit application? We need permit fees that reflect the true cost of a responsible, thorough permit review. Cutting these fees diminishes the ability of our city staff to do their job well.
- Paragraph II.8.: What is the basis for assumption that building 1500 new student housing units Downtown will not just fill up will more students moving out of traditional campus housing? Basis for this assumption?
- Paragraph III.11.: Proposed Penny for HTF - FY 2016 budget cycle? What is the status of this?
- Paragraph I.3.: Why is Accessory Dwelling Units limited to the section on Housing Options for Aging Population? Why isn't accessory housing a strategy for increasing affordable housing everywhere in the city, for all populations?